the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and three, which said day was given to the said Robert Morgan for the assignment of Errors of and upon the Record and proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid, came again into the Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid Robert Morgan by his Attornies aforesaid, as the said Dixon Slade and Elizabeth his Wife by their Attornies aforesaid; And the said Robert Morgan by his Attornies aforesaid, said that in the Record and proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid there was manifest error in this, to wit; that by the Record aforesaid it appeared that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Dixon Slade and Elizabeth his Wife against the said Robert Morgan when by the Law of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said Robert Morgan against the said Dixon Slade and Elizabeth his Wife, wherefore in that there was manifest error; and he prayed that the Judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the Record and proceedings aforesaid might be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said Robert Morgan might be restored to all that he lost by occasion of the said Judgment and that the said Dixon Slade and Elizabeth his Wife might rejoin to the errors aforesaid &c.

And the said Dixon Slade and Elizabeth his Wife by their Attornies aforesaid said there was no error in the Record and proceedings aforesaid or in the condition of the Judgment aforesaid, and prayed that the said Court might proceed to the examination, as well of the Record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Robert Morgan above for Errors assigned and that the said Judgment might be in all things affirmed &c. But because the said Court of Appeals were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore was given to the parties aforesaid before the said Court of Appeals until the second Tuesday of June then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the said Court of Appeals thereof were not advised &c.

At which said second Tuesday of June being the twelfth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and four, came again into the said Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid Robert Morgan by his Attornies aforesaid, as the said Dixon Slade and Elizabeth his Wife by their Attornies aforesaid; Whereupon for that the said Court of Appeals were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, day therefore was given to the said parties to hear thereof their Judgment until the second Monday of November then next following, for that the said Court were not then &c.

At which said second Monday of November, being the twelfth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and four, came again into the said Court of Appeals the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid; But because the said Court of Appeals were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, day therefore was further given to the said parties to hear thereof their Judgement until the second Tuesday of June then next following, for that the said Court were not then &c.

At which said second Tuesday of June, being the Eleventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, the said parties by their respective Attornies aforesaid again came into the said Court of Appeals; And because the said Court of Appeals were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, day therefore, by the consent of the parties aforesaid by their respective Attornies aforesaid, was further given to the said parties to hear thereof their Judgment until the second Monday of November then next following, for that the said Court were not then advised &c. Whereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their respective Attornies aforesaid and by order of the said Court thereon was further continued until the said second Monday of November then next;

At which said second Monday of November, being the Eleventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, the said parties by their respective Attornies aforesaid again came into the said Court of Appeals; And because the said Court were not then and there advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, a day was therefore, by consent of the said parties and their respective Attornies aforesaid, further given to the said parties to hear thereof their Judgment, until the second Tuesday in June then next following, for that the said Court of Appeals were not advised &c. Whereupon further process of and upon the said premises, with the consent of the said parties by their respective Attornies aforesaid and by order of the said Court thereon was further continued until the said second Tuesday of June then next;

And at this day, to wit, the third Monday in June, being the sixteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and six, until which day the proceedings aforesaid, which had remained without day by the operation and effect of an Act of the General Assembly of this State passed at a Session of Assembly begun and held at the City of Annapolis on Monday the fourth of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, entitled, "An Act to confirm an Act, entitled, An Act to provide for the trial of facts in the several Counties of this State, and to alter, change and abolish, all such parts of the constitution and form of Government as relate to the General Court and Court of Appeals," were, in virtue of an Act passed at the same Session of Assembly, entitled, "An Act to provide for the Organization and regulation of the Courts of Common Law in this State, and for the administration of Justice therein," revived and continued until the next Court of Appeals to be holden for the Western Shore at the City of Annapolis, comes here into the said Court of Appeals held for the Western Shore, as well the said Robert Morgan by John Johnson and Alexander Contee Magruder his Attornies, as the said Dixon Slade and Elizabeth his Wife by Luther Martin, Aquila Hall and Thomas Buchanan their Attornies; And because the Court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, a day is therefore further given to the parties aforesaid to hear thereof their Judgment; until the