the second Tuesday of October next. Now the Condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bound Paul Hoye shall not pursue the directions of the Acts of Assembly entitled "An Act for regulating Writs of Error and granting Appeals from and to the courts of Common Law within this province" at the next ensuing General Court (to be held at the time and place aforesaid) and prosecute the same Appeal with effect and also satisfy and pay to the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear their executors administrators or assigns in case the said Judgment shall be affirmed as well all and singular the damage and costs adjudged by the Court of Washington County aforesaid as also all Costs and damages that shall be awarded by the General Court aforesaid then the said Bond to be and remain in full force and virtue; otherwise of no effect

Signed sealed and delivered in the presence of } Paul Hoye (seal)
Joseph Sprigg, (seal)
George C. Smoot, Christian Keller (seal)

Thereupon it is ordered by the Court here that a Record of the proceedings aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the General Court and the same are transmitted accordingly,

Test: O. H. Williams, Clk,

State of Maryland, Washington County; to wit,

I hereby certify that the aforegoing is a true Copy taken from the Records of proceedings of Washington County Court.

Seal of Washgtn County Court

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the public Seal of Washington County ~~Court~~ Court this 6th day of October 1803.

O. H. Williams, Clk,

At which aforementioned second Tuesday of October being the Eleventh day of the said month, in the said year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and three, came into our said late General Court as well the said Paul Hoye by John Buchanan his Attorney, as the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear by Samuel Hughes Junior their Attorney; And thereupon, on motion of the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear by their Attorney aforesaid, It was ruled by the said Court, that the said Paul Hoye assign the errors in the Record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid, brought before the said Court for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or Judgment by the Court would be entered against him in default thereof; whereupon the said Paul Hoye by his Attorney aforesaid, prayed that a day might be given him for the assignment of Errors of and upon the Record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid until the second Tuesday of May then next, and to him it was granted; the same day was given to the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear also

At which said second Tuesday of May, being the Eighth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and four, which said day was given to the said Paul Hoye for the assignment of errors of and upon Record and proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid, came again into the said late General Court, as well the said Paul Hoye by his Attorney aforesaid, as the

said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear by Samuel Hughes Junior and Richard Brooke their Attornies; And the said Paul Hoye by his Attorney aforesaid, said, that in the Record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the Record aforesaid it appeared, that the Judgment aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear against the said Paul Hoye when by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said Paul Hoye against the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear; therefore in that there was manifest error, and he prayed that the Judgment aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record and proceedings aforesaid, might be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said Paul Hoye might be restored to all that he had lost by occasion of the said Judgment, and that the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear might rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth,

And the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear by their Attornies aforesaid, said, there was no error in the Record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid, and prayed that the said Court might proceed to the examination as well of the Record and proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Paul Hoye above for errors assigned, and that the said Judgment might be in all things affirmed, and so forth; But because the said General Court were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, day therefore was given to the parties aforesaid before the said General Court until the second Tuesday of October then next, to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, because the said Court thereof were not advised, and so forth,

At which said second Tuesday of October, being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and four, came again into the said General Court as well the said, Paul Hoye by his Attorney aforesaid, as the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear by their Attornies aforesaid; But because the said General Court were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, day therefore was further given to the parties aforesaid before the said General Court until the second Tuesday of May then next; to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, because the said Court thereof were not advised, &c.

At which said second Tuesday of May, being the fourteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, came again into the said General Court as well the said Paul Hoye by his Attorney aforesaid, as the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear by their Attornies aforesaid; But because the said General Court were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, day therefore was further given to the parties aforesaid (by consent of the said parties and their Attornies aforesaid) before the said General Court until the second Tuesday of October then next, to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, because the said Court thereof were not advised, &c.

At which said second Tuesday of October, being the Eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, came again into the said General Court as well the said Paul Hoye by his Attorney aforesaid, as the said Matthew Vanlear and William Vanlear by their