Whereupon the said Henry Stonestreet by his Attorney aforesaid files in Court here a certain writing obligatory commonly called an Appeal Bond, and which is contained in the following words, to wit, "Know all Men by these presents that we Henry Stonestreet, George M Ward and Josias B Ford of Charles County in the State of Maryland are held and firmly bound unto Walter Jameson of the County and State aforesaid in the full and just sum of Five hundred pounds current money, To be paid to the said Walter Jameson or his certain Attorney his executors administrators or assigns, to which payment well and truly to be made, We bind ourselves and every of us, our and every of our heirs executors and administrators, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents. Sealed with our Seals and dated this twenty second day of March in the Year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and five.

Whereas at a County Court begun and held at Port Tobacco in and for Charles County, on the third Monday of March in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred and five, the above named Walter Jameson by the Judgment of the said Court recovered against the above bound Henry Stonestreet as well the sum of Two hundred and thirty pounds ten shillings Current Money Debt, as the sum of Two pounds nine shillings and four pence half penny current money for his costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf laid out and expended, whereof the said Henry Stonestreet is convict and so forth, from which said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered the said Henry Stonestreet hath prayed an Appeal to the next General Court to be held for the Western Shore. Now the Condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bound Henry Stonestreet shall not pursue the directions of the Act of Assembly entitled "An Act for regulating Writs of Error and granting Appeals from and to the Courts of Common Law within this province" at the next General Court to be held for the Western Shore and prosecute the same Writ with effect; and also satisfy and pay unto the said Walter Jameson his executors administrators or assigns, in case the said Judgment shall be affirmed, as well all and singular the Debts, Damages and Costs, adjudged by the County Court aforesaid, as also all Costs and Damages that shall be awarded by the said General Court, then this Bond to be and remain in full force and virtue, otherwise of no effect.

Henry Stonestet (seal)
Geo. M. Ward (seal)
Jos. B. Ford (seal)

Signed sealed and delivered in the presence of Nicholas Stonestreet }

which being read and heard and the Securities in the said Bond being by the Court here approved, it is therefore ordered by the Court here that the Record and proceedings aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid, with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the Honourable the Judges of the General Court for the Western Shore of the State of Maryland, and the same are transmitted to the said Court accordingly.

Test John Barnes, Clk.

Seal of Charles Coty Court.

In Testimony that the aforegoing is truly copied from the Record of the proceedings of Charles County Court, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Seal of my Office, this Seventeenth day of April in the Year of our Lord

One thousand eight hundred and five.

John Barnes, Clerk of Charles County Court.

At which aforementioned second Tuesday of May being the fourteenth day of the same month, in the said year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, came into the said late General Court, as well the said Henry Stonestreet by Clement Dorsey his Attorney, as the Walter Jameson by Thomas Buchanan his Attorney; And thereupon, on motion of the said Walter Jameson by his Attorney aforesaid, It was ruled by the said Court, that the said Henry Stonestreet assign the errors in the record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid, brought before the said General Court for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or Judgment by the said Court would be entered against him in default thereof; whereupon the said Henry Stonestreet by his Attorney aforesaid, prayed that a day might be given him for the assignment of errors of and upon the record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid until the second Tuesday of October then next, and to him it was granted; the same day was given to the said Walter Jameson also.

At which said second Tuesday of October, being the eighth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, which said day was given to the said Henry Stonestreet for the assignment of errors of and upon the record and proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid; came again into the said late General Court, as well the said Henry Stonestreet by his Attorney aforesaid, as the said Walter Jameson by his Attorney aforesaid; And the said Henry Stonestreet by his Attorney aforesaid, said, that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid, there was a manifest error in that, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appeared that the Judgment aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Walter Jameson against the said Henry Stonestreet, when by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said Henry Stonestreet against the said Walter Jameson therefore in that there was a manifest error, and he prayed that the Judgment aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record and proceedings aforesaid, might be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said Henry Stonestreet might be restored to all that he had lost by occasion of the said Judgment, and that the said Walter Jameson might rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth.

And the said Walter Jameson by his Attorney aforesaid, said, there was no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid, and prayed that the said General Court might proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Henry Stonestreet above for errors assigned, and that the said Judgment might be in all things affirmed, and so forth; But because the said General Court were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, day therefore was given to the parties aforesaid before the said General Court until the second Tuesday of May then next; to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, because the said Court thereof were not advised, and so forth.

And at this day, to wit; the third Monday in June, being the sixteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and six, until which day the proceedings aforesaid