December Term. 1806.

Exhibit No. B.

Dr. Thomas Bicknell & others, In account with Jno. Worthington & others Executors of Brice T. B. Worthington

1791	Dr.			
Feby	To Balance due Jno. Worthington and others Executors of B. T. Worthington on the principal sum and Interest from the time of the Indorsement on back of Robinsons Mortgage to B. T. B Worthington to the time of Thomas Bicknells bond, to said B. T. B Worthington	164	2	11
	To other moneys included in your Bond to said B. T. W. on this sum wanting to make up the sum of your Bond	7	3	1
	£	171	6	0
1791 Feby. 26	Cr. By your bond of this date to Brice Thomas Beale Worthington	171	6	0
	No. 3.	171	6	0

And the said Cause so standing continued until October Term 1801. The Defendant filed at Court his additional answer in the words following, to wit; (insert it)

An additional answer of John Worthington to the Bill of Complaint of Thomas Bicknell —

It is admitted by this Defendant that a certain Richard Robinson, on or about the first day of May seventeen hundred and seventy eight did apply to Brice Thomas Beale Worthington for the loan of the sum one hundred and seventy Current Money, and that said Worthington for the securing the payment thereof with Interest obtained a mortgage from said Robinson, as stated in the separate answer of John Worthington to the Bill of Complaint of Thomas Bicknell That this defendant further admits that a settlement took place, between the aforesaid Richard Robinson and Brice Thomas Beale Worthington, and that the said Robinson, acknowledged by an Indorsement on the back of said Mortgage, signed, sealed and executed by said Robinson on or about the 6th day of October 1782, that there was due said Worthington on

December Term. 1806.

the credit of said mortgage premises the sum of one hundred and forty five pounds, three shillings and three pence silver Current money of Maryland:

That said complainant Thomas Bicknell has stated in his answer to the Bill of Complaint filed by John Worthington and others heirs and Executors of Brice Thomas Beale Worthington, which said answer the said Thomas Bicknell has exhibited in this case; wherein he states, that the settlement which took place between said Robinson and Brice Thomas Beale Worthington was done agreeable to an Act of Assembly October session 1780 Chap. 5 Section 10 — But it plainly appears by an account filed by this Defendant, and marked No. 1. that said settlement was not made agreeable to said Act of Assembly.

That the complainant in this case has stated in his Bill of Complaint, that the said complainant was applied to by said Brice Thomas Beale Worthington on the subject who represented to said complainant Bicknell that there was then due from Robertson to him on account of the premises aforesaid, for principal and Interest the sum of one hundred and seventy one pounds, six shillings current Money on the twenty sixth day of February 1791 and that the said Bicknell could not and should not obtain the benefit of the Equity of redemption aforesaid by a release ment of the mortgage without he secured to said Worthington by giving his bond for the said sum of money, alledged to be due as aforesaid and your complainant ignorant of Law and not knowing how to extricate the Land aforesaid, did on the day and year last aforesaid, give his bond conditioned for the payment of the said last mentioned sum of money, under the belief and impression, occasioned by the representations of said Worthington that the same was justly due; the said complainant also states that he, the said Bicknell never did borrow any money of the said Worthington, or was in any manner indebted to him except as before mentioned and that the sole and only condition and consideration for the bond aforesaid was the debt due from Robinson. But it appears by an account filed by the defendant and marked No. 2. that the said Brice Thomas Beale