aforesaid but for his false complaint against the said Elie Lanham be in mercy and soforth and that the said Elie Lanham go thereof without day and soforth. And it is further Considered by the Court here that the said Elie Lanham recover against the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid the quantity of one thousand and twenty four pounds of Tobacco for his Costs and charges by him laid out and expended in and about his defence in this behalf sustained to the said Elie Lanham by the said Court now here adjudged and soforth and that the said Elie Lanham have Execution thereof and soforth.

And thereupon the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid by his attornies aforesaid prays an appeal from the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered to the High Court of Appeals of the State of Maryland and the same is granted unto him. It is therefore ordered by the Court here that the Record and proceedings aforesaid, with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the said High Court of Appeals of the said State, and the same are transmitted to the said Court accordingly

Test John Grinn Clk

Maryland set. I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly taken from the Record of proceedings of the General Court of the State of Maryland held for the Western Shore.

Seal of the General Court W S M

In Testimony whereof I hereunto subscribe my name and affix the seal of the said General Court this third day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and four And in the Twenty ninth year of the Independence of the United States of America.

John Grinn Clerk General Court
Western Shore, State of Maryland

At which aforementioned second monday of November being the twelfth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one Thousand eight hundred and four, came into the said late Court of Appeals as well the said John Goodtitle lessee of Francis Tolson by Thomas Buchanan and Arthur Shaaff his attornies as the said Elie Lanham by John Thomson Mason his attorney: and thereupon on motion of the said Elie Lanham by his attorney aforesaid it was ruled by the said Court that the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid assign the errors in the Record of proceedings of

the Judgment aforesaid brought before the said Court of Appeals for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or Judgment by the said Court would be entered against him in default thereof. Whereupon the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid by his attornies aforesaid prayed that a day might be given him for the assignment of errors of and upon the Record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid until the second monday in June then next: and to him it was granted, the same day was given to the said Elie Lanham also.

At which said second monday of June being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and five, came again into the said Court of Appeals as well the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid by his attornies aforesaid as the said Elie Lanham by his attorney aforesaid: and the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid by his attornies aforesaid said that in the record and proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid there was manifest error in that, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appeared that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Elie Lanham against the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid when by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid against the said Elie Lanham therefore in that there was manifest error and he prayed that the Judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the Record and proceedings aforesaid might be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that he the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid might be reversed to all that he had lost by occasion of the said Judgment, and that the said Elie Lanham might rejoin to the errors aforesaid and soforth.

And the said Elie Lanham by his attorney aforesaid said, there was no error in the Record and proceedings or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prayed that the said Court might proceed to the examination as well of the Record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said John Goodtitle lessee as aforesaid above for errors assigned, and that the said Judgment might be in all things affirmed and soforth. But because the Court were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore was given to the parties aforesaid before the said Court until the second monday of November next, to hear their Judgment of and