December Term 1808

To the 18th Interrogatory answered that when he was about to write the will of Charles Lecompte he (Lecompte) gave him (Deponent) a rough sketch of a will which said Charles said was drawn by himself to draw one by in which the names of the several tracts of land were but deponent doth not recollect the whole but thinks that Sprouts was one of the tracts

Signed by the deponent this 16th day of July 1799

Samuel Brown

Samuel Brown further saith in addition to the answer already given to the 18th Interrogatory that he told Mr Charles Lecompte that it was not necessary to mention the Lands in his will by name that a general expression of all his lands would do.

Samuel Brown

John Williams of full age being duly summoned in behalf of the Complainants and being sworn on the holy Evangels of Almighty God answered to the interrogatories as follows.

To the first Interrogatory answered that he knows Solomon Frazier the Defendant and William Lecompte one of the Complainants

To the 2nd Interro answered that he has so understood

To the 3rd Interro answered that he doth not know

To the 4th Interro answered that Captain Frazier came to his house and asked him if he had been applied to go to Mr Charles Lecompte to take the acknowledgment of any deed deponent replied that he had been spoken to by Mr Lecompte. Captain Frazier said that it would be very much against him that that deed should be taken or executed for that he had purchased a piece of land of John McKeel by the persuasion of Mr Charles Lecompte and that as the right lay in Mr Lecompte after the death of some person Mr Lecompte had promised to give him a deed for it but that he understood that through the persuasion of William Lecompte he was now going to give it away from him, which would ruin him he therefore begged of Deponent that he would not go deponent further saith that Frazier told him had it not been for Mr Charles Lecompte promising to deed to him Frazier his right to the land he bought of John McKeel he should not have purchased it and he thought it very ungenerous now to give it away from him which he believed was occasioned by the persuasion of William Lecompte and he further saith that Captain Frazier intimated that Mr Charles Lecompte had been so long sick that he did not think he was in his senses at the time or with to that

effect

December Term 1808

effect deponent saith that Captain Frazier did not offer him any consideration for not going.

To the 5th Interro answered in the negative

To the 6th Interro answered in the negative

To the other Interrogatories deponent answered in the negative and saith he knows nothing more than as already deponed.

Signed by Deponent this 16th July 1799

John Williams

John Lecompte of full age being sworn on the holy Evangels of Almighty God in behalf of Complainants answereth the interrogatories as follows

To the first Interrogatory answered in the affirmative.

To the 2nd Interro answered in the affirmative

To the 3rd Interro answered that they have been married between ten and eleven years and have no children

To the 4th and 5th Interrogatories and 6th 7th 8th and 9th answered in the negative.

To the 10th Interrogatory answered that about the month of March 1798 he heard Captain Frazier say that he had got a deed from Charles Lecompte for Sprouts that he did not think to get a deed for any more than for the land he had purchased of John McKeel but that from the deed which he had got he could hold the whole of Sprouts for that Daniel Martin had told him that such a deed as Charles Powers passed to Thomas McKeel would be safe enough and now Captain Frazier said under God and Providence he could hold the whole.

To the 11th & 12th Interro answered in the negative.

To the 13th Interro answered that he believes Captain Frazier claims the whole of Sprouts and now has all or the greater part in possession.

To the 14th Interro answered in the affirmative

To the 15th Interro answered in the negative

To the 16th Interro answered in the negative

To the 17th Interro answered that Captain Frazier said he was against Charles Lecompte executing a deed to William Lecompte until he should have a deed for the land he purchased of John McKeel that Mr Charles Lecompte had always promised to do it and he did not wish a deed to William Lecompte to be given until that was done.

To the 18th Interro answered in the negative

Signed by the Deponent this 16th July 1799

John Lecompte of John