ordered and decreed by the Court of Appeals here that the said Henry Pitfell have Execution against the said John Prutzman and Elizabeth his wife and Henry Prutzman as well of the decree aforesaid as also for the sum of fifty one dollars and Twenty nine cents adjudged unto the said Henry Pitfell by the Court here for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the Execution of the decree aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said John Prutzman and Elizabeth his wife and Henry Prutzman of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth

Test Th. Harris Jun. Clk.

Thomas Beall of Samuel
vs
Abraham Faw.

Be it remembered that now here on the third monday in June being the fifteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seven the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings in a cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Thomas Beall of Samuel Complainant and Abraham Faw Defendant and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree in favour of the said Abraham Faw against the said Thomas Beall of Samuel and from which said decree the said Thomas Beall of Samuel prayed an appeal unto the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said record of proceedings in form following to Wit [Copy the record & proceedings as bound up in book marked records T. H No 6.] And now comes into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Thomas Beall of Samuel by Arthur Shaaff his attorney as the said Abraham Faw by John Johnson his attorney and thereupon on motion of the said Abraham Faw by

his attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Thomas Beall of Samuel assign the Errors in the record of proceedings of the decree aforesaid brought before the Court here for correcting Errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof and the said Thomas Beall of Samuel by his attorney aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the rendering the decree aforesaid it is manifestly erred in this that is to say that by the record of proceedings aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid in form aforesaid given was given for the said Abraham Faw against him the said Thomas Beall of Samuel when by the Law of the Land and in Equity and good conscience that decree ought to have been given for the said Thomas Beall of Samuel against the said Abraham Faw therefore in this it is manifestly erred and he prays that the decree aforesaid for this and other Errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid being may be revoked annulled and held for naught and that he the said Thomas Beall of Samuel to all things which he by occasion of the decree aforesaid hath lost may be restored &c. and he prays that the said Abraham Faw may rejoin to the Errors aforesaid and so forth and thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Abraham Faw join in the Errors by the said Thomas Beall of Samuel above assigned in the record of proceedings of the decree aforesaid or judgment by the Court here will be rendered against him in default thereof and the said Abraham Faw by his attorney aforesaid prays that a day may be given him to join in the Errors by the said Thomas Beall of Samuel above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the third monday in December next and to him it is granted the same day is also given to the said Thomas Beall of Samuel At which said third monday in