year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and Ten which said day was given to the said Walter Clagett to join in the Errors by the said Richard Hall of Edward above assigned come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneis aforesaid and the said Walter Clagett by his attorney aforesaid says there is no Error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Richard Hall of Edward above for Error assigned and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what Judgment to give of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the third Monday in June next to hear the Judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court of Appeals nowhere thereof are not advised and so forth And now at this day to wit the said third monday in June being the seventeenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and Eleven come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid and the said Walter Clagett by his said attorney offers himself against the said Richard Hall of Edward of and upon the premises and prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the Examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters above for Error assigned and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth and for as much as the said Richard Hall of Edward doth not allege to the Court of Appeals here any matter or thing for the reversal of the judgment aforesaid nor insist upon the matters aforesaid by him above for

Error assigned therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the Judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said causes above for Error assigned in any wise notwithstanding and it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Walter Clagett shall have Execution against the said Richard Hall of Edward as well for the debt damages costs and charges aforesaid so as aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Anne Arundel as also for the sum of Ten dollars and sixty five cents adjudged unto him by the Court of Appeals here on his assent for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the Execution of the Judgment aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said writ by the said Richard Hall of Edward for the correcting of Errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth memorandum Judgment was affirmed nisi in this case and made absolute on the Eighteenth day of June 1811 and execution awarded for the sum of $53. 1. 3 Current money debt the sum of one hundred pounds Current money damages and the sum of $6. 50 costs in the County Court together with the sum of $10.65 costs in the Court of appeals the said damages to be released on payment of Interest on the said debt from the 14th of March 1808 until paid.

Test Thomas Harris Jun. Clk.

---

30. Richard Hall of Edwd
vs
Benjamin Hodges use of Charles D Hodges use of McDonald & Ridgely use of Thomas Hodges.

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the Twenty second day of December one thousand eight hundred and nine Richard Hall of Edward by John Golden his attorney sued forth out of the Court of Chancery the following Writ of Error Maryland Sc. The State of maryland to the Honorable