parties by their attornies aforesaid before the Court here until the third monday in June next to hear the Judgment of the Court here of and upon the said premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said third monday in June being the eighteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and ten, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their respective attornies aforesaid: But because the Court here are not yet advised what Judgment to give of and upon the premises, further day is therefore given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their Attornies aforesaid before the Court here until the third monday in December next to hear the Judgment of the Court here of and upon the said premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said third monday in December being the seventeenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and ten come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their respective attornies aforesaid: But because the Court here are not yet advised what Judgment to give of and upon the premises, further day is given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid before the Court until the third monday in June next to hear Judgment of the Court here of and upon the premises aforesaid, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said third monday in June being the seventeenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and eleven, come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their respective attornies aforesaid. But because the Court here are not yet advised what Judgment to give of and upon the premises aforesaid, further day is therefore given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, before the Court here until the third monday in December next to hear the Judgment of the Court here of and upon the said premises for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

And now at this day to wit. the said third monday in December being the sixteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and eleven, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their respective attornies aforesaid: Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in Sam aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Henry Stevenson of Edward above for error assigned, been seen, and by the Court of Appeals now here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court now here that there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid nor in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid; Therefore its considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the Judgment aforesaid given in sam aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding; And it is further considered by the Court here that the said Nicholas Ridgely have a return of the said twelve hogsheads of Tobacco to be delivered to him forever inepleviable and so forth and execution against the Henry Stevenson of Edward as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Baltimore, as also the sum of twenty one dollars and eighty five cents adjudged unto said Nicholas Ridgely by the Court here for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said Appeal by the said Henry Stevenson of Edward of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted.

Test. Th. Harris. Junr Clk.

Ats.

Ariana French and Others vs State of Maryland and James Clarke	Be it remembered, that now here on the third monday in June being the twentieth day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and eight, the Chancellor of the State of Maryland in pursuance of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings in a cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Ariana French, Thomas French, George French, Catharine French, Charles French, Robert French, John Henry and Elizabeth his wife complainants and the State of