goes to the infants

Let the Complainants Counsel frame a final decree of partition. No 1 is to be assigned to Samuel, No 2 to Edward and No 3 to the two infants a description of each according to the plot and Certificate is to be given

A C Hanson Chan

And at the same term the defendant Edward Norwood filed in Court his petition in the words following, to wit,

To the Honorable the Chancellor of Maryland

The representation of Edward Norwood respectfully sheweth that your petitioner is entitled to two third parts of the lands to be divided between Samuel Norwood your petitioner and Elizabeth and Mary Norwood he your petitioner being heir at Law to Nicholas Norwood who devised his third part of said lands to four illegitimate children for life only, two of which are dead and the remaining two Elizabeth and Mary Norwood have only a life estate in one sixth part

your petitioner therefore prays your honor that in the said partition proposed to be made his said two lots may adjoin each other and that they be number one and number two and he in duty bound will pray)

Edw Norwood

Whereupon the Chancellor passed the following Order to wit

Samuel Norwood
vs
Edward Norwood

In Chancery
Nov. 23. 1801

The Chancellor having considered the petition of the defendant this day filed is of opinion that under all Circumstances the prayer ought to be granted. and that a decree pass accordingly

A C Hanson Chan.

Exhibits filed in the Cause

Stamp

Baltimore County September Term 1798

William Lynch and John Moale surviving obligees of Wm Wilkinson use Chs Ridgely of Wm
vs
Edward Norwood heir at law of Nancy Norwood and Edward Norwood Junr & Elizr Norwood & Mary Norwood and Sarah Norwood devisees and Terre tenants of Nicholas Norwood

Scire facias Debt £664.8.4 Current money of Maryland damages 424 pounds of Tobacco, To be released on payment of £332.4.3 Current money with interest from the thirteenth day of November 1778 and Costs

At a March Term seven hundred and ninety seven Tried subject to the opinion of the Court on the following terms

Moale and Lynch use of Charles Ridgely of Wm
vs
Edward Norwood and others

Scire facias Tried

Subject to the opinion of the Court whether under the last will and testament of Nicholas ..... Fitzimmons (herewith filed Exhibit No A) the heirs males of Mary Fitzimmons being three sons of the said Mary, Nicholas, Edward and Samuel Norwood took an estate in fee or in tail if an estate in fee the present judgment to be of force, if on the contrary an estate in tail then judgment for defendant. Ch R Ridgely for deft.