Mrs Doncastle other than as before stated - but about two days before August 1768 he heard a conversation between Mr Daniel Senifer and the said Ridgate wherein the said Senifer urged him to make over the two Negroes to the Complainants, not being able fully to hear them - he can only state that he believes - that Ridgate said he was not to be hurried - Senifer broke out in a passion, said there was rent due him and that he would distrain the said Girls for it - the following morning Ridgate came and ordered away Lucy and her Son Jeff and Lydia - that Mrs Doncastle in distress dispatched this deponent to Mr Senifer to tell him what had happened - Mr Senifer told this deponent to tell Mr Ridgate that he had no intention to distress the said Negroes, that he told Ridgate what Senifer said - and he replied that he would not take Senifers word for a straw and if the said property was brought back he would put it out of Senifers power to take it for rent, shortly after the said Negroes came home and during the stay of the said deponent they were considered as the property of the said Sally and Elizabeth Doncastle

Interrs 9th He can say nothing but what he has before stated.

Interrs 10th. He answereth and saith, that he this deponent knows the hand writing of Daniel Senifer that the paper marked Exhibit No 1. is in the hand writing of the said Senifer.

No other Witness being produced we then adjourned to meet at the same place on the Twentieth of March at which time and place we met and further adjourned till the Twenty seventh of March and no Witness being then produced a further adjournment was made to the third of April 1806 at which time we adjourned without day -

after Notice being given We met at the house of Joseph M Semmes in the City of Washington on the twenty ninth day of November Eighteen hundred and six. when the said Joseph Wilson being again produced, he further answereth to the said tenth Interrogatory and saith. that in the Year 1766. 1767. and 1768. the principal part of the white family supported by Mrs Elizabeth Doncastle. was her daughter. Elizabeth Duncastle who was very small and went to School. this deponent never observed any extravegance in dress in the family, but very much the reverse - that of plainess in dress. the said Deponent further says, that the said Ridgate and his family aforesaid continued to board with the said Mrs Duncastle until the said Ridgate thought proper to go to housekeeping, that the fault of his not continuing longer to board was his own, and not said Elizabeth Duncastle's. That it was after the time of the sale aforesaid by Senifer to said Ridgate of said Negroes that he saw the said paper dated in August 1766. in the hands of the said Elizabeth Duncastle alluded to in his the Deponents answer to the 6th Interrogatory - The Deponent further says, that the substance of said paper so as aforesaid alluded to in his answer to the fifth Interrogatory was further, that the amount of the said board and expences was to be applied exclusively to the payment of the said sum of ninety five pounds so as aforesaid, bid for the said Negroes by the said Ridgate until the same was paid - that the said Negro Girls were in the Year 1766 and some time afterwards up to August 1768 in the possession of said Elizabeth Duncastle the Mother for the use of the said Elizabeth and Sally Duncastle the daughters.

This Deponent has the most abundant reason to state as a fact that the said

(Elizabeth)