said William Hawkins to join in the errors by the said Christian Adreon above assigned come again into the Court of appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid; and the said William Hawkins by his attorney aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Christian Adreon above for error assigned and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. But because the Court of appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of appeals here, until the second monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court of appeals now here, thereof are not advised and so forth.

At which said second monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventeen come again into the Court of appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is further continued before the Court of appeals here, until the first monday in December next; the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said first monday in December being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventeen come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid and thereupon further process of and upon the premises is further continued before the Court of appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit, the said second monday in June being the eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighteen come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their

attornies aforesaid; Whereupon as well the record of proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters by the said Christian Adreon above for error assigned being seen, and by the Court of appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid, in form aforesaid given, be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error assigned, in any wise notwithstanding; and it is further considered by the Court of appeals here, that the said William Hawkins have execution against the said Christian Adreon as well for the damages, costs and charges aforesaid, so as aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County court of Baltimore as also for the sum of twenty nine dollars and twenty three cents adjudged unto him by the Court of appeals here, on his assent for his costs and charges, which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Christian Adreon for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted, and so forth.

Memorandum. Judgment was affirmed in this case on the twenty sixth day of June eighteen hundred and eighteen and execution awarded for the sum of four hundred dollars current money damages and nineteen dollars and six cents costs in the county court and twenty nine dollars and twenty three cents costs in the Court of appeals, to be released on payment of two hundred and two dollars and twenty seven cents current money with interest thereon from the 25 of april 1816 until paid and the above costs

Test. Th Harris clk.

47.

Charles Pumphrey's Lessee vs. George Ashpaw	Be it remembered, that heretofore, to wit on the eighteenth day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixteen Richard Smith lessee of Charles Pumphrey by

John Stephen his attorney, prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Chancery of the State of Maryland the writ of the said state for the correcting of errors; directed to the Honourable the judges of the third judicial District, in the words and of the tenor following, to wit: