and James Goulding by Patrick Goulding their neat friend complainants and William L Young, John C Young, Theophilus F Dougherty and Hannah his wife, John Carroll and Amos Loney defendants, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said defendants against the said Complainants and from which said decree the said Complainants appealed unto the Court of appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit.

[Here copy Record as bound up in book marked THNY]

And now come into the Court of appeals here, as well the said Patrick Goulding by Robert Goodloe Harper and Thomas Jennings his attornies and the said Mary Goulding, Arabella Goulding and James Goulding by Patrick Goulding their neat friend as the said William L Young, John C Young, Theophilus F Dougherty and Hannah his wife, John Carroll and Amos Loney by John Stephen and George Winchester their attornies and thereupon on motion of the said William L Young, John C Young, Theophilus F Dougherty and Hannah his wife, John Carroll and Amos Loney by their attornies aforesaid It is ruled by the Court here that the said appellants assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the decree aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof: And the said appellants by their attornies and neat friend aforesaid say, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of the decree aforesaid there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid was given for the said appellees against the said appellants when by the law of the land and in equity and good conscience that decree ought to have been given for the said appellants against the said appellees therefore in that there is manifest error and they pray that the decree aforesaid for that error and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that they the said appellants may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said decree and that the said appellees may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of appeals here, that the said appellees join in the errors by the said appellants above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said appellees by their attornies aforesaid pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said appellants above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in June next; and to them it is granted; the same day is also given to the said appellants.

At which said first Monday in June being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixteen which said day was given to the said appellees to join in the errors by the said appellants above assigned, come again into the Court of appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attornies and neat friend aforesaid; and the said appellees by their attornies aforesaid, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid, by the said appellants above for error assigned and the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. But because the court of appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid, before the Court of appeals here, until the first Monday in December next to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here, of and upon the premises for that the court now here, thereof are not advised, and so forth -

At which said first Monday in December being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixteen come again into the Court of appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attornies and neat friend aforesaid; thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventeen come again into the Court of appeals here as the said appellants by Robert Goodloe Harper and Alexander C Magruder their attornies and neat friend aforesaid as the said appellees by Luther Martin John Stephen and George Winchester their attornies and the said appellants by their attornies and neat friend aforesaid suggest to the Court of appeals here that the said record and proceedings is defective and does not contain Exhibit A returned with the commission the answer of Amos Loney a deed from Arabella Young to William Young dated 27th day of May 1794 a deed of same date from Arabella Young to John Young and a deed from Thomas B Randall to Amos Loney dated on the 11th day of September 1795 and they pray the writ of the state of Maryland of Diminution to be directed to the Chancellor more fully to certify the truth thereof to the Court of appeals here and it is granted them and thereupon the said writ issues forth out of the Court of appeals here in the words following, to wit