above assigned, come again into the Court of appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid; and the said appellees by their attornies aforesaid say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid and they pray that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said appellants above for error assigned and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. But because the court of appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the court of appeals here until the first monday in December next to hear the judgment of the court of appeals here, of and upon the premises for that the court now here, thereof are not advised and so forth.

At which said first monday in December being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighteen come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. But because the court of appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the court of appeals here on the second monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the court of appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said second monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and nineteen come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid: Whereupon further proceeds of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, by consent of the said parties and their attornies aforesaid and by order of the court here thereon is further continued, before the court of appeals here until the first monday in December next there then to hear the judgment of the court of appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit the said first monday in December being the sixth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and nineteen come again into the Court of appeals here as well the said Charles Sterrett Ridgely and Ambrose Updegraaff by Henry McMurray and William Pinkney their attornies as the President and Directors of the Bank of Washington, Stephen West and William Morgan aforesaid

by their attornies aforesaid, and the said Charles Sterrett Ridgely and Ambrose Updegraaff by their attornies aforesaid relinquishing their verification aforesaid above by them pretended say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in giving the decree aforesaid: Therefore it is adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court of appeals here that the decree aforesaid given and rendered in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect.

And it is further adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court of appeals here that the President and Directors of the Bank of Washington Stephen West and William Morgan aforesaid have execution against the said Charles Sterrett Ridgely and Ambrose Updegraaff as well of the decree aforesaid as also for the sum of thirty five dollars adjudged unto the President and Directors of the Bank of Washington, Stephen West and William Morgan aforesaid by the Court of appeals here for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Charles Sterrett Ridgely and Ambrose Updegraaff of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c.

Memorandum. Decree affirmed by consent with cost on the 7th December 1817 and stay of execution until the 20th of april next.

Test. Th. Harris clk

12.

Caleb Dorsey, Ann Dorsey, Edward Dorsey, Mary Dorsey by Thomas H Dorsey their Guardian and Thomas H Dorsey and Ann his wife

vs

Benjamin D Clarke & Robert Yieldhall and Benjamin Clarke, Elizabeth Clarke, Sarah Ann Clarke, Gabriel Clarke and Delilah Jane Clarke by Benjamin D Clarke their guardian

Be it Remembered, That now here on the first monday in December being the first day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventeen The Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of assembly in such case made and provided transmits to the court of appeals here the record of proceedings of a cause which was lately depending before him in the court of Chancery between Benjamin D Clarke and Sarah his wife and Robert Yieldhall complainants, and Caleb Dorsey, Ann Dorsey, Edward Dorsey and Mary Dorsey heirs of Richard Dorsey by Thomas H Dorsey their guardian and Thomas H Dorsey and Ann his wife defendants and wherein the said court of Chancery passed a decree for the said complainants against the said defendants; and also on a bill of review of the said decree by the said Caleb Dorsey, Ann Dorsey, Edward Dorsey and Mary Dorsey heirs at law as aforesaid by their said guardian and the said Thomas H Dorsey and Ann his wife complainants, and the said Benjamin D Clarke