hundred and twenty which said day was given to the said Dominic Figuet to join in the errors by the said Francis Soke above assigned Come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their Attornies aforesaid; and the said Dominic Figuet by his Attorney aforesaid says there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the judgement aforesaid and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the Record of Proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Francis Soke above for error assigned and that the said Judgement may be in all things affirmed and so forth.

Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by Virtue of an act of Assembly in such Case made and provided, further Continued before the Court of Appeals here until the Second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgement of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and So forth.

And now at this day, to Wit, the said Second Monday in June being the Eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and twenty one Come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Dominic Figuet by Edward Hinkley and Nathaniel Williams by his Attorneys as the said Francis Soke by his Attorney aforesaid; and the said Dominic Figuet by his Attorney aforesaid offers himself against the said Francis Soke of and upon the premises, and prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the Record of Proceedings aforesaid as of the Matters above for error assigned, and that the said judgement may be in all things affirmed and for as much as the said Francis Soke doth not allege to the Court of Appeals here any matter or thing for the Reversal of the judgement aforesaid nor insist upon the Matters aforesaid by him above for error assigned: Therefore it is Considered by the Court of Appeals here that the judgement aforesaid in form aforesaid Given be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said Causes above for error assigned in any wise notwithstanding and it is further Considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Dominic Figuet have execution against the said Francis Soke as well for the damages

Costs and Charges aforesaid, so as aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Baltimore as also for the sum of Eleven Dollars and eighty Cents adjudged unto him by the Court of Appeals here on his agent for his Costs and Charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the Execution of the judgement aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said Appeal by the said Francis Soke for the Correcting of errors of and upon the premises aforesaid prosecuted, and So forth.

Memorandum. Judgement was affirmed nisi in this Case and made absolute on the Seventh day of July 1821.

Test Th. Harris Clk.

William Newton admor of John James Maund, John Thomas Mason, John Thomas Ricketts & William Newton vs Thomas Ringgold

Be it Remembered, that now here on the Second Monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said Month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and nineteen the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the Directions of the act of Assembly in such Case made and provided transmits the the Court of Appeals here the Record of Proceedings which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery Between Thomas Ringgold Complainant and William Newton Administrator of John James Maund John Thomas Mason John Thomas Ricketts and William Newton defendants and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said Thomas Ringgold against the said William Newton administrator as aforesaid John Thomas Mason John Thomas Ricketts and William Newton and from which said decree the said William Newton administrator as aforesaid John Thomas Mason John Thomas Ricketts and William Newton Appealed to the Court of Appeals the tenor of which said Record of Proceedings is in form following, to Wit; [here Copy the Record as Bound up in a Book Marked T H No. A B].

And now Come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said appellants by William H Winder their Attorney, as the said Thomas Ringgold by William Pinkney, Robert G Harper and David Hoffman his attorneys and Thereupon on motion of the said Thomas Ringgold by his Attorneys aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said appellants assign the errors in the Record of Proceedings