thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving the decree aforesaid: Therefore it is adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court of Appeals here that the decree aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said Causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding: and it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said Thomas Ringgold have execution against the said appellants as well of the decree aforesaid so as aforesaid passed by the said Court of Chancery as also the sum of Sixty Dollars and fifty five Cents adjudged unto the said Thomas Ringgold by the Court of Appeals here on his agent for his Costs and Charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said appellants for the Correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c.

Memorandum at the argument and decision of this Case in the Court of Appeals the Honourable John Johnson judge withdrew from the Bench.

Test Ch. Harris Clk

Daniel Scott
vs
John Lee Gibson
Joshua B Bond
Abraham Jarrett
Benjamin Gibson
& Arthur Ryder

Be it Remembered that now here on the first Monday in December being the Sixth day of the said Month in the Year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and nineteen the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the Directions of the act of Assembly in such Case made and provided transmits to the Court of Appeals here the Record of proceedings which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between John Lee Gibson. Joshua B Bond Abraham Jarrett Benjamin Gibson and Arthur Ryder Complainants and Daniel Scott Defendant and Wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a Decree for the said John Lee Gibson. Joshua B Bond Abraham Jarrett Benjamin Gibson & Arthur Ryder against the said

Daniel Scott and from which said Decree the said Daniel Scott Appeal to the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said Record of Proceedings is in form following, to Wit: [here Copy the Record Bound up in a Book Marked J H. No. A. B.

And now Come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Daniel Scott by Addison Ridout his Attorney, as the said appellees by Alexander Contee Magruder their attorneys; and Thereupon on Motion of the said Appellees by their Attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here. that the said Daniel Scott assign the errors in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the decree aforesaid so as aforesaid Brought Before the Court of Appeals here for Correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgement by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: and the said Daniel Scott by his Attorney aforesaid says that in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving the decree aforesaid there is Manifest error in this to Wit that by the Record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid was given for the said Appellees against the said Daniel Scott when by the law of the Land and in equity and good Conscience that decree ought to have been given for the said Daniel Scott against the said appellees therefore in that there is Manifest error and he prays that the decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid may be Reversed annulled and held entirely as Void and that he the said Daniel Scott may be Restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said decree and that the said appellees may Rejoin to the errors aforesaid and Soforth: And Thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Appellees join in the errors by the said Daniel Scott above assigned in the ~~in the~~ Record of proceedings aforesaid or judgement by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof. and the said Appellees by their attorney aforesaid pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said Daniel Scott above assigned in the Record of Proceedings aforesaid until the Second Monday in June next: and to them it is granted; the same day is also given to the said Daniel Scott.

At which said Second Monday in June being the twelfth