aforesaid) is entirely taken from the records proceedings
of the said Annual County Court.

In Testimony whereof I hereto set my name and affix
the seal of the said Annual County Court this Ninth
day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and nineteen; And in the Forty
Third year of the Independence of the United States
of America. Wm S Green Clk Ann Coty Ct

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Sally
A Hammond by Luther Martin William Pinkney and Thomas A Bowie her
attornies as the said John Denn Lupus as aforesaid by Roger B Taney Alexander
C Magruder and Thomas B Dorsey his attornies and thereupon on motion
of the said John Denn Lupus as aforesaid by his attornies aforesaid it is ruled
by the Court here that the said Sally A Hammond assign the errors in
the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the judgment aforesaid
so as aforesaid brought before the Court of appeals here for correcting
the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of appeals
here will be entered against her in default thereof: And the said
Sally A Hammond by her attornies aforesaid says that in the record of
proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid
there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid it appears
that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for
the said John Denn Lupus as aforesaid against the said Sally A Hammond
when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given
for the said Sally A Hammond against the said John Denn Lupus as
aforesaid therefore in that there is manifest error and she prays that the
judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of
proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void
and that she the said Sally A Hammond may be restored to all
things which she hath lost by occasion of the said judgment and that
the said John Denn Lupus as aforesaid may rejoin to the errors aforesaid
and so forth. And the said John Denn Lupus as aforesaid by his attornies
aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in
the giving of the judgment aforesaid and he prays that the Court of appeals
here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings
aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Sally A Hammond above
for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things
(affirmed)

affirmed and so forth. But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised
what judgment to give of and upon the premises day thereupon is given to the
parties aforesaid before the Court of Appeals until the second monday in June
next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the
premises for that the Court now here thereof are not advised and so forth.

At which said second monday in June being the twelfth day of the said
month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty come again
into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid
But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment
to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties
aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid
to come before the Court of Appeals here on the first monday in December
next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the
premises for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said first monday in December being the fourth day of
the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
twenty come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid
by their attornies aforesaid Thereupon further process of and upon the premises
aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in
such case made and provided further continued before the Court of
appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given
to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals
here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit the said second monday in June
being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and twenty one come again into the Court of appeals here
the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the
Record and Proceedings aforesaid and the judgment given in form aforesaid
as the matters aforesaid by the said Sally A Hammond above for error
assigned being seen and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood
and mature deliberation thereupon had for that it does not appear to the Court of
Appeals here that there is any error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in
the giving of the judgment aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court
of Appeals here that the judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all
things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said Causes for
error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding: And it is
further considered by the Court of Appeals here that the said John
Denn Lupus as aforesaid have his possession of his term aforesaid yet to come
and unexpired of and in all that part of the tract or parcel of land
mentioned in the declaration called Downeys Search (the original survey)
according to the location thereof which is comprehended within the lines