him Attorney General of the State of Maryland, and that the said Thomas B Dorsey Esquire in the said Court repeated and signed a declaration of his belief in the Christian Religion and took the several Oaths required by the Constitution and laws to be taken by the Attorney General

Seal of Baltimore City Court

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of Baltimore City Court this first day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two Thomas Harwood Clk Balto City Ct

---

On application of Alexander C. Buckler Esquire of the City of Baltimore is duly admitted an Attorney of this Court; and thereupon he repeats and signs a Declaration of his Belief in the Christian Religion and takes the several Oaths required by law

On application, Louis Gaze Esquire and John A. J. Sieguer Esquire are duly admitted Attorneys of this Court; and they thereupon repeat and sign a declaration of their belief in the Christian Religion, and take the several Oaths required by law

On application, Somervieco Pinkney Esquire is duly admitted an Attorney of this Court, and thereupon he repeats and signs a Declaration of his Belief of the Christian Religion and takes the several Oaths required by law

Eleanor and Charlotte Fraizere Lessee vs Philip Hood	Ejectment. Judgment in the General Court at May Term 1790. Motion on the part of the plaintiff to amend the term laid in the declaration by enlarging it. Motion filed

Motion refused by the Court

On application, Alexander Munroe Esquire is duly admitted an Attorney of this Court, and thereupon he repeats and signs a Declaration of his Belief in the Christian Religion and takes the several Oaths required by law

On application, John Glenn Esquire is duly admitted an Attorney of this Court and thereupon repeats and signs a Declaration of his Belief in the Christian Religion, and takes the several Oaths required by law

---

Lewis Browning vs Samuel Sligh	Be it remembered that now here on the first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said Month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eighteen The Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, hath sent to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Lewis Browning Complainant and Samuel Sligh defendant, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a Decree for the said Samuel Sligh against the said Lewis Browning and from which said decree the said Lewis Browning appealed to the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following (viz) [Here copy the record as bound up in a book marked T. H. No AD]

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Lewis Browning by Benj. S. Pigman his attorney as the said Samuel Sligh by Luther Martin his attorney and thereupon on motion of the said Samuel Sligh by his attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Lewis Browning assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the passing the Decree aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein. or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said Lewis Browning by his attorney aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in passing the decree aforesaid there is manifest error in this