

If answer will be - by showing as far as may
be proper of funds -
See how the answer will stand?

2. If Govt. rely on his answers & presumption, given
to Opp the full benefit of all legal presumptions - the
great leading one pertaining to this matter - that the
benefit of him is prima facie evidence due to same
rightly of these - that is that he had & discharged them.

3. That Dr W. knowing Dr P. intention to keep him
in the subject prepared himself as well as he could by
removing from him to him the weapons means &
laying them aside - that this mode of providing
weapons deprives him of the power of knowing the
sources from which he derived the money

4. The incidental corroboration of his statement from
Peter who says that he in Nov. let Dr W. have 100
dollars in bills of the New England Bank

Now - that Dr W. says Dr P. did not buy the money - Will the gov't
show that his acc^{ts} have not sought him?
And if Justice arises that upon contemplation, the

Amount due to Dr P. on the Note does not
correspond with what Dr W. says he paid, the answer
is that the parties know what they believe them &
arrange their affairs accordingly.

In all respects the parties understood each other
that Exploring why Dr W. did not buy at the Boston
Store Dr P. went to the College for his money.