

showing the protestations of innocence on the part of the Defendant - and however complete the conviction of his Counsel that the proofs in the case are insufficient to ~~show~~ ^{show} any guilt on his part, or the perpetration of any crime by him - they cannot foresee what may be the effect of these proofs on the minds of others -

Therefore they must examine this question upon the supposition of the agency of Dr W in the procurement of the death of Dr P. - as the question whether Murder or Manslaughter can only be investigated, by temporality - as for the sole person only, supposing that Dr W. did ~~cause~~ ^{cause} the death of Dr P.

Such course of Counsel is to be regarded in no way & to no extent, as any concession - saying altogether the participation of Dr W in the act, whatever may have been which caused the death of Dr P. I more distinctly reserving all that pertains to that subject, to subsequent Enquiry, his Counsel will proceed to show that the crime of Murder is not proved - that if any offence is established it is Manslaughter only