

Considerations pertaining to the defence.

1. No person having been present at the interview between Dr P & Dr W on Friday the 23rd Nov. it is obviously impossible for Dr P to offer any direct proof as to what their conversation between them - or how they separated.
2. But he can state what took place; and this he has done, & produces papers which before were possessed by Dr P. & are now held by himself as the result at end of that interview.
3. If it be objected, either that the amount alleged to have been paid, to Dr P does not correspond with what would seem to be due on the Note by a correct computation of interest - or, that Dr W. by the force of the Law he says, he binds did not entitle himself to the £ note - that of 2400 - the answer is that he & Dr P only know from what