J G — Bennett Garrett Admr of John Brown — vs — Richard Burrough & Eliza. his wife

Citation having Issued against the Defendants in this Cause to render an Account upon Oath of John Browns Estate Concealed by them returnable this Court the Shff of Ballimore County to whom the Same was Directed makes return thereof to the Court here Endorsd

Cited and Summonds p
Francis Holland Shff Balt Co.

But the said Richard Burrough and Elizabeth his wife Do not Appear Wherefore It is Ordered that Attachment Issue against them for their Contempt therein returnable next Court which is Accordingly Issued —

J G — Hugh Conn — vs — Wm Todd Admr de Bonis non of Thomas Todd —

Citation having Issued against the Defendant in this Cause — the Shff of Ballimore County to whom the Same was Directed makes no return thereof Nevertheless It is Ordered that Attachment Issue upon proof made that the Citation has been Served upon Mr Todd Attorney in Fact

H C — David Hull vs Robert Hutchinson Admr of Joseph Hull

To the Honble William Holland Thomas Addison and Daniel Dulany Esqrs Commissary Generalls and Chief Judges for probat of Wills and granting Letters of Administration for the province of Maryland

The Petition of David Hull of Kent County

Humbly Sheweth That Joseph Hull late of Kent County Deceased your petitioners father in the year Seventeen hundred and thirteen or Seventeen hundred and fourteen Died Intestate leaving behind him your petitioner his Son and five other Children and his wife Mary — That the said Mary his Wife On the Twenty Second Day of June Anno Dni Seventeen hundred and thirteen took upon her the Administration of her said Husbands Estate And On the Fourteenth Day of April thereafter gave in an Inventory of the same Estate into the prerogative Office Amounting to the Sum of One hundred and Fifty three pounds Six Shillings and Ten pence Current Money And On the Second Day of November in the Same Year the past an Account of her payments and disbursements made out of the Same Estate with the Deputy Comry of Kent County Amounting to the Sum of Nine pounds Money aforesaid That the said Mary the Widow & Relict of the said Joseph Hull Intermarried to a Robert Hutchinson of Kent County aforesaid planter that it Does not Appear upon Record that there has been Any other Account made up of the said Decds Estate but the said Account of Nine pounds Money aforesaid —

That the said Mary is lately Deceased that your petitioner has often Applyed to the said Mary in her life time And likewise to the said Robert Hutchinson husband to the said Mary And the said Mary Desiring that they would pay to him One eight part of his Deceased Fathers Estate after Deducting the widdows thirds therefrom & Lawfull Interest for the Same Since your petitioner has been of Age And that thereon your petitioner was ready and willing to give good Security to refund if Any Just Debts should Appear against the Estate May it please your Honour to grant unto Ye petitioner his Lordships writt of Citation to be Directed to the Shff of Kent County Comanding him to Cite and Summon the said Robert Hutchinson who intermarried the Admx aforesd at a Certain Day and under a Certain pain therein to be limited to be and Appear before your Honour to Answer the Premises According to the Exigency of this Law And that your Honour will be pleased to make such Order and Decree therein as to Ye Honour shall seem most Expedient

And your petr as in Duty bound shall pray &c

William Cumming p Ord

Whereupon the said David Hull hath his Lordships writt of Citation to him granted Directed to the Shff of Kent County Comanding him to Cite and Summon the said Robert Hutchinson Admr as aforesd that he be and Appear &c to Answer the premises aforesd Returnable the Second Tuesday of August Instant &c

To which said Second Tuesday of August being the 14th Day of the same Month Anno Dni 1722 And the Day of the return of the precept aforesd Comes again the said petitioner by his procurator aforesd And the Shff of Kent County aforesd to whom the Same was Directed likewise Comes and Makes return thereof to the Court here Endorsd

Summoned p G Pearce Shff Kent County

Whereupon the said Robert Hutchinson Admr as aforesd by Thomas Larkin Gent his procurator Appears And at the prayer of the petitioner His Ruled that the said Respondent file his Answer to the petition aforesd by the 15th Day of September Next or that Attachment Issue against him for want thereof which being Complyed with. the Same follows in these words Viz

The Answer of Robert Hutchinson of Kent County to the petition of David Hull &c

The