The Deposition, of Ann Miller, aged ab.t twenty being first sworn on the Holy Evangels &c.

Deposeth to the first Interrogatorie, She has known the parties Libellants, & Libellee, for those last five Years –

To the second Interrogatorie, She Did know Coll.o Ed. Scott late of Kent County at his Death, & for eight Years before

To the Third & fourth Interrogatories, This Deponent is altogether ignorant.

To the fifth & last Interrogatorie, this Depon.t declares she heard the S.d Ed. Scott, ask his Mother in Law Rachel if she Design'd to administer, & that her answer was she could not tell – & further saith that She heard the S.d Edward tell his Mother in Law, that he wish'd she would resolve for if she administred he would not if she Did not he would, to w.ch she answered he should be resolved in two weeks he replyed in that time the family might suffer for want of Cloaths &c.a and that she might as well allow him to administer because it would be very troublesome to her – But told her he was easy whether he Did administer or not – for if he Did not he would take the trouble of the Corpo & he believed W.m Chancellor might insist upon Money for his Wife's Patrimony & that his Brothers & Sister might chuse him for their Guardian to w.ch this Dep.t saith the said Rachel (Edward Scotts Mother in Law) answered him she suspected, he would have the benefit of the Negroes, for one Year & y.t He could make her pay ten ll.s Ster.g for paying her off – Ann Miller deposeth further that the said Ed: Scott answered his Mother in Law that he thought he could not. Rachel ask'd the S.d Edward if it lay in his power would he do it? to w.ch he replyed no – to the best of this Depon.ts Remembrance – Then his Mother in Law the said Rachel told him the said Edward, that he might administer & she Did Sign a Renunciation to be sent to the Comissary & further this Deponent Saith Not Witness –

On the twenty Seventh July Anno 1728 –

The former Comissioners together with W.m Hanson – Did meet at Chester Town (to take

to take the Depositions of Evidences that were not present at first meeting viz.t

The Deposition of W.m Frederick Hanson

To the first Interrogatorie answers he has known the parties Libellants & Libellee for four Years last past

To the Second Interrogatorie this Deponent declares he knew Coll.o Ed. Scott at his death & for two or three Years preceding his decease –

This Dep.ts answer to the third Interrogatorie – is that he has over read the list of Ferrables of the Constable charg'd to Coll.o Ed. Scott & that he during his Sheriff's Office always charg'd the whole list of Ferrables delivered to him by the Constable to Coll.o Edw.d Scott & that he always accounted with Coll.o Scott for the same during his life.

To the fourth Interrogatorie this Deponent declares He's ignorant. –

To the fifth & last Interrogatorie this Deponent says he knows no further as has declar'd in the foregoing Interrogatories – & likewise that he Did Acc.t with Coll.o Scott in his life time for four Hundred Pounds of Tobacco Left him or his Son Edward in the County Levy, which he accounted with Coll.o Scott for when Sheriff. The said Coll.o then told this Dep.t that it properly belong'd to his Son Ed: Scott – & further this Deponent saith not

The following Evidences produced by the Libellee

John Tilden's Deposition

Saith to the first Interrogatorie He's known the parties Libellants & Libellee for above five Years last past

To the second Interrogatorie this Deponent declares he knew Coll.o Ed. Scott at his death & fifteen Years before

To the third Interrogatorie this Dep.t is ignorant

To the fourth Interrogatorie this Depon.t declares to the best of his knowledge – a Young Negro Man named London in the possession of his Bro.r in Law Coll.o Edward Scott a few Years before the said Coll.o's death properly belonged to his Son Edward Scott This Dep.t being then a Lodger w.th the said Coll.o Scott & had been so a considerable time before the said Negro was bought and

(further