May Court 1747

be at Liberty to proceed in the said Administration according to the rules of Law and Equity as he is ready and willing to do. and when he shall have compleated the same he shall also be ready and willing to pay the ballance to such person or persons as shall have authority to receive the same; and as shall Indemnifie this Respondent on such Payment — Without that, that any Other matter a thing in the said Libel Contained, material a Effectual for this Respondent to make answer unto, and not herein, and hereby Sufficiently answered, confessed, or avoided, Traversed, or denyed, is true to the knowledge and Belief of this Respondent, all which matters and Things this Respondent is ready to aver and prove as this Honourable Court shall award, and humbly Prayeth to be hence Dismissed, with his Reasonable Costs and Charges By him about this Suit in this Behalf most wrongfully Sustained and Expended —

Bordley & Resp.t

Nov.r 6th 1746
Sworn to Before us Two of the Justices of the peace of Baltimore County

John Hall
Sam.l Owings —

The first Schedule mentioned and referred to in the Answer of Thomas White to the Libel of Brian Philpot and John Philpot

Bills of Excha. transmitted By Thomas White to Isaac Meltner & By him received —

	£	S	D
	Stell.		
Elias Legrofs on Isaac Meltner	15	8	0
Jacob Giles on Hyde	25	0	0
Daniel Scott on Forward	2	10	0
Sam.l Webster on Isaac Meltner in part	2	18	7
	45	16	7

The second Schedule mentioned and referred to in the Answer of Thomas White to the Libel of Brian Philpot & John Philpot —

Sundry Payments made By Isaac Meltner for Account of Thomas White —

	£	S	D
The White Excha. to J. Shoredine	5	8	10
D.o to Same	5	0	0
D.o to Darby Sua	5	0	0
D.o to Sam.l Read	21	9	6
D.o to Phil. Hamond & John Galloway	21	16	6
D.o to Alex.r Lawson	20	"	"
D.o to Alex.r Black	15	10	6
D.o to Wm. Pallum	14	4	6
D.o to Sd. Cocca	75	0	0
D.o to Wm. Cockburn	180	0	0
D.o to Alex.r Lawson	8	0	0
D.o to Sd. Cocca	6	8	0
D.o to John Hall	8	10	0
D.o to Isaac Webster	10	8	10
D.o to Sd. Forward	2	8	0
D.o to Sd. Shoredine	6	4	2
D.o to Ross Meredith	50	0	0
D.o to Same	60	0	0
D.o to Same	68	0	0
Lance Todd Jun. Excha. in Dost. of Tho. White & p.d Acc.t his Hon. 14.0.3 & 3	3	17	9
Michael Gilbert Excha. in dost. of Tho. White & Paid Acc.t his Hon. 14.0.3 & 5/3	14	5	6
For Assurance of 30 Hds. Tob. by Sd. Meltner 1742	7	12	6
For Chas. protest of Sam. Webster's Excha.	4	3	
	£ 609	10	10

May Court 1747 —

The third Schedule mentioned & referred to in the Answer of Tho. White, to the Libel of Brian Philpot and John Philpot —

Bills of Excha. drawn By Tho. White on Isaac Meltner & Charged By Godfrey Meltner in a private Account —

	£ Stel.	S	D
1742 Dec.r 7th To Susan.h Stokes	30	0	0
1743 June 11th To Tho. Bladen Esq.r	2	10	0
Sept.r 5th To Ross Meredith	66	0	0
Aug.t 11 To John Hall	14	10	0
1742 Jan.y 29th To y.e Lord Baltimore	8	2	6
1743 April 7 To Tho. Shoredine	5	6	8
	£ 176	9	2

The fourth Schedule mentioned and referred to in the Answer of Thomas White, to the Libel of Brian and John Philpot —

The s.d Tho. White hath received from

John Cocca	128	9	1
Mary Walker Ex.x of Doct. George Walker	65	3	
Michael Gilbert	3	13	
Jacob Bull	48	2	9
Doct. Josias Middlemore in Jamaica Hollands	42	0	0
	£ 287	9	6

Whereupon this Cause is Continued until next Court and the same Day is given to the Libellants and Respondent also —

At which said next Court to wit the Second Tuesday of January Being the thirteenth day of the same Month Anno Dominj 1747 Came again the said Parties Libellants & Respondent By their Procurators aforesaid and the said Brian Philpot & John Philpot By their said Procurator for their Replication to the answer aforesaid Which follows in these Words Viz.t

The Replication of Brian Philpot and John Philpott Libellants to the Answer of Thomas White Gent.l Respondent to the said Libellants Libell —

The said Repliants saving to themselves all Advantages of Exception to the uncertainties, untruths and Insufficiences in the Respondents said Answer for Replication thereunto say that all and Singular the Matters and things in the Repliants said Libell Contained are true, as the same are therein alledged: and that the Answer of the said Respondent to the said Libell is untrue, imperfect and Insufficient to be replied unto, and that they are ready to aver maintain and prove the same as the Honourable Court shall award and humbly pray as in their said Bill they have prayed —

E Dorsey —

Whereupon this Cause is Continued until Next Court and the same day is given to the Libellants and Respondent also