And Whereupon the said John Parsons by Robert Carvile his Attorney Complaineth that Whereas the said Alexander Dunett being Master of the good Ship called the Dolphin of Poole in the Kingdome of England, and being upon the tenth day of February in the yeare of our Lord 1680 bound with the said Ship to this Province the said Alexander did appeare receiver of the Servants and goods of the said John Parsons, And the same day at Poole aforesaid had and received of the Servants and goods of the said John by the hands of the said John three Servants (to wit) Two men Servants and named Henry Rowland & Edward Rowlands and one woman Servant named Frances Ward with bedding and cloathes to the said Servants belonging All of the valued of three thousand five hundred pounds of Tobacco, to render an account of the said Servants and goods to the said John at the arrivall of the said Ship within this Province And the said John in fact sayth that the said Alexander Dunett upon the fifteenth day of May in the yeare of our Lord 1681 Arrived in Patuxent River in the said Province with the said Ship and the Servants and goods aforesaid Yett Notwithstanding the said Alexander Dunett although often required a reasonable account of the said Servants and goods to the said John hath not as yet given, but the same to give hath altogether denyed and refused, To the damage of the said John fifteen hundred pounds of Tobacco And thereupon he brings his suite

And the said Alexander Dunett by Griffith Jones his Attorney comes & defends the force and injury when &c and prayes leave to imparle thereunto untill next Provinciall Court and it is granted unto him, And the same day is given to the pltf also

Now at the said next Provinciall Court (to wit) the fourteenth day of April in the Eighth yeare of the Dominion of the Right Honble Charles Lord Baltimore &c Anno Dom 1682 came the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid And the said Alexander Dunett by his said Attorney sayth that he the said Alexander always was and still is ready to render an account to the said John Parsons of the value of the Servants in the said plaintiffs Declaration mentioned, Wherefore it is considered by the Court here that the said Alexander Dunett of the Servants and goods of the said John Parsons by the time aforesaid in forme aforesaid received, And thereupon the Worp Thomas Taylor and Garrett Vanswearingen gentlemen are by Consent of the said pltf and deft and their Attorneys aforesaid Elected by the Court here to heare Audite state and examine the said account of the said deft to the pltf and as they in their Report thereof under their hands and sealed to the Justices of this Court on the first day of the next Provinciall Court Now Whereas at this day (to wit) the fifth day of July in the yeare aforesaid came the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid And the said Thomas Taylor and Garrett Vanswearingen the Auditors aforesaid returned to this Court their Report of the account by them stated between the said pltf & deft wch is as followeth (viz)

By Virtue of a Commission directed to us by the Worp the Justices of this Prov Court to Audite state and examine the accounts betweene John Parsons pltf and Alexander Dunett deft, And in Obedience to the said Commission We Thomas Taylor and Garrett Vanswearingen have stated the account and do make this our report

Dr		Cr	
To the freight of three Servants by agreement at 1500 p head	6000	By Sale of Henry Rowland	2500
To the Doctors Chirt	0060	By Sale of Francis Ward	2500
To Cash disbursed 1 p head 4 pound	0048	By Sale of Edward Rowland	2200
To warrant depth	0500	Rests due to Alexander Dunett	0258
To the value & receiving 720 lbs	0250		7258
Errors Excepted	7258	Thomas Taylor Garrett Vanswearingen	

Which Report of the Auditors aforesaid being read and heard It is considered by this Court here that the said John Parsons take nothing by this writt aforesaid but be in mercy for his false Clayme therein and that the said Alexander Dunett go thereof without day and that the said Alexander Dunett recover against the said John Parsons the Summe of pounds of Tobacco for his costs and charges by him about his defence in this behalfe laid out, and expended and the said Alexander Dunett may have Letters of Execution

Thomas Hyslop Adm of Richard Atkins
agt
Nicholas Hackett

Nicholas Hackett late of Talbot County otherwise called Nicholas Hackit was Summond to answer unto Thomas Hyslop Merchant Adm of all and singular his goods and Chattles of Richard Atkins Merchant dead in a plea of debt and that he render to the said Thomas the full whole & just Summe of three thousand pounds of good sound Merchantable tobacco wch from him he unjustly detaineth

And whereupon the said Thomas by Griffith Jones his Attorney comes and sayth that the said Nicholas the seaventeenth day of January 1668 by his Obligation bill or writing sealed with the Seale of him the said Nicholas as this act and deed he owned and owns as by the Court here produced more fully doth and appeareth Did acknowledge and confesse himself to be truely and justly indebted unto the said Richard Atkins the time aforesaid Adm and assignes thereby bound & obliged to pay or cause to be paid unto the said Richard Atkins the time aforesaid Exec Adm or Assignes the said Summe of three thousand pounds of good sound Merchantable tobacco when called for according to act of Assembly upon all Demands Nevertheless the said Nicholas did not pay or cause to be paid unto the said Richard Atkins in the life time of the said Richard, but the same to the said Richard in the life time or to the said Thomas in the said Capacity since the death of the said Richard, but the same to the said Thomas in the said Capacity since the death of the said Richard to pay and satisfy although often demanded doth deny and still doth deny, to the damage of the said Thomas in the said Capacity three thousand pounds of tobacco And thereupon he brings his suite here in Court produced the Letters Testamentary whereby &c

And the said Nicholas Hackett by Robert Carvile his Attorney comes and defends the force and injury when &c And prayes leave to imparle thereunto untill this next Provinciall Court And it is granted unto him, and the same day is given to the pltf also.

Now heard at this day to wit the fourth day of July in the Eighth yeare of the Dominion of the Right Honble Charles Lord Baltimore &c Anno Dom 1682 came the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid And the said Nicholas Hackett by his said Attorney sayth that he ought not the debt aforesaid in the Declaration mentioned ought not to be withheld, because he sayth the bill or writing obligatory in the Declaration aforesaid mentioned is not his act and deed And of this he puts himself upon the Country And the pltf likewise, Wherefore it is commanded the Sheriffe of St Maries County that he cause to come here twelve &c by whom &c and who neither &c to recognize &c because &c

At which said Court day of July in the yeare aforesaid came the parties aforesaid by their Attorneys aforesaid And the Jurors impannelled being called likewise came (to wit) Philip Lynes, Richard Hood, John Hungerford, Richard Royston, John Powell, Sam Dobson, John Wilkinson Thomas Stonestreet, James Phillips, Stephen Merly, Dennis Munley & Hugh Hopewell, Who being elected tryed and sworne to say the truth in this premisses Upon their Oaths doe say that the bill or writing obligatory in

this