bole wch sumed in the writt amounting to the sume of fiwe thousand fyve hundred pounde of tobacco & fower aid, In consideracon whereof the said Rychard did assumpsit upon him selfe and to the said Stephen and Edmond did faithfully promise that he the said Rychard when thereunto requyred shd pay vnto the said Stephen and Edmond wch he wch and truly contynt and pay, And the said Stephen and Edmond say Although the said Rychard the sume of fiwe thousand eight hundred and fiwe pounde of tobacco part of the said sume of fiwe thousand fyve hundred pounde of tobacco hath paid and satisfyed to them the said Stephen and Edmond Yet Ouer thousand seaven hundred ninety and fiwe pounde of tobacco residue of the said sume of fiwe thousand fyve hundred pounde of tobacco the said Rychard hath not to them the said Stephen and Edmond paid according to the promise but the same to them to pay hitherto hath and still doth denye to pay, To their Damage of fiftie the said Stephen and Edmond the sume of fiwe thousand pounde of tobacco and thereupon they bring their Suite

And the said Rychard Swalwam by Griffith Hound his Attorney comth & defendth the force and injury wch &c and prayth he liberty to imparle hereunto vntill the next Provinciall Court and it is graunted vnto him And the same day is given to the ptie ffs there

At which day (to wit) the fifth day of July in the Eighth yeare of the Dominion of the Right Honble Charles Lord Baltemore &c Annog Dom. 1683 came the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid, And the said Rychard Swalwam by the said Attorney sayth that the said plte from their action aforesaid against him the said Rychard Ought not to be barred and precluded for that the said plt hath not paid within the Terme and space of fiwe yeares as by the act of Assembly is provided And therefore prayes Iudgmt if the said plte from their said action ought not to be barred and precluded

And the said Stephen and Edmond by their said Attorney say they are Marchants Liveing in England and trading vnto this Province and ought to be barred by the said acts of Limitacons for that they are accepted as Soe out of the said Acts And of this they crave Iudgmt of the Court, And the said Defendant also:

Wch being read heard and vnderstood It is ruled by the Court here that the plea of the aforesaid defendant in manner aforesaid pleaded and the matter therein Conteyned is not sufficient in Law to debarr the plaintiffe from haveing their action aforesaid against him the said Defte Therefore considered by the Court here that the said Stephen Bozarcroft and John Bucktridge take nothing by their plea aforesaid but be in mercy for their false clayme And that the said Rychard Swalwam god hearof vntill this day And that the said Rychard Swalwam recover against the said Stephen Bozarcroft and John Bucktridge the sume of six hundred & fifty — — pounde of tobacco for the debt and damages by him about the defendts breach of Covenant and assumpsit in the writt and his costs & charges by him about his defence in this behalfe laid out and expended, And the said Rychard may have Execucon

Marke Cheston Clk
agt
Symon Sprackling

Symon Sprackling late of St Maries County Otherwise called Symon Spratling of St Maries County in the Province of Maryland planter was Summond to answer unto Marke Cheston Clk of a plea that he render unto him Two thousand five hundred pounde of tobacco wch he him the owght & unjustly detaineth

And whereupon the said Marke by Robert Carvile his Attorney complaineth that whereas the said Symon upon the Ninth day of August in the yeare of our Lord 1681 by his

Obligacon Bill or writeing obligatory sealed with the Seale of him the said Symon and herein to this Court produced wch date the day and yeare aforesaid, Did acknowledge him selfe to be bound unto the said Marke (by the name of Marke Cheston Clk) in the fyve and just sume of Two thousand fyve hundred pounde of good sound & Marchantable tobacco in Caske to be paid unto the said Marke Cheston Clk his heires Executors Adms or Assignes at or upon the fourth day of October next ensueing the date of the said bill at Some Convenient Landing in the said County of St Maries, Yett Notwithstanding the said Symon Spratling the said sume of Two thousand fiwe hundred pounde of tobacco to the said Marke Cheston according to the true of the said bill Obligacon often thereunto requested hath not payd or Satisfyed but the same to pay and satisfy hath hitherto denyed and refused and still doth denye and refuse to pay the same to the damage of the said Marke fiwe thousand pounde of tobacco And thereupon he bringeth his Suite

And the said Symon Spratling by Mathew Hutchyns his Attorney comth & defendth the force and injury when &c and prayth he liberty to imparle hereunto vntill the next Provinciall Court and it is graunted vnto him And the same day is given to the ptie there

At which day (to wit) the third day of July in the Eighth yeare of the Dominion of the Right Honble Charles Lord Baltemore &c Annog Dom. 1683 came the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid And the said Symon Spratling by the said Attorney sayth that he cannot gainsay the accon aforesaid & the said Marke Cheston nor but that he owght vnto the said Marke the said Sume of two thousand fiwe hundred pounde of tobacco, Itt is therefore Considered by the Court here that the said Marke Cheston recover against the said Symon Spratling as well the aforesaid Sume of Two thousand fiwe hundred pounde of tobacco &c as also the Sume of Eight hundred ninety and fower pounde of tobacco for costs & damages by him about his suite in Mercy &c

John Routhby
alias
Edward Inglish

Edward Inglish late of Calle County was Summoned to answer unto John Routhby alias &c a plea that he render unto him the Sume of Seaven thousand two hundred forty fourre pounde of tobacco wch he him owght & unjustly detaineth

And Whereupon the said John in his proper person sayth that whereas the said John at a Provinciall Court of the Right Honble the Lord Proprietary that now is held the second day of March in the yeare of our Lord 1681 at the Citty of St Maries before the Lords Justices of the said Court Hath recovered against one Joseph Jarman of Calle County aforesaid Two thousand two hundred forty foure pounde of tobacco wch he the said John in the same Court was adjudged for his damages wch he sustained by reason of a certaine Escape of the Body by the said Joseph against the said John done and committed, and whereof the said Joseph was convicted as by the Record and proceed of the said Judgment in the same Court of the said Lord Proprietary now remaining in the Records thereof more fully appeareth, Upon wch Judgment the said John for the Cleerer having and obtaining of his damages aforesaid afterwards (that is to say) the fourteenth day of March in the yeare aforesaid did procure out of the said Court of the Lord Proprietary a Certaine writt of the Lord Proprietary called a Capias ad Satisfaciendum against the said Joseph to the said Edward Then and yett Sheriffe of Calle County aforesaid directed, By wch said writt the said Lord Proprietary did command the said Edward Then and now being Sheriffe of the County aforesaid that he should take the said Joseph (if he should be found within his Bailiwick) and him safely keep so that he should have the body before the Justices of the said Lord Proprietary at the then next Provinciall Court to be holden on the second day of May then next following at the said Citty of St Maries to satisfy the said John his damages aforesaid Whereof the said Joseph was convicted as by the said writt to the said Edward Then and yett Sheriffe of the said County of Calle aforesaid directed the said John afterwards and before the returne of the same writt (that is to say) the