Tilton John Stoop Edmond Hanly and Hugh Tingle who to say the truth in the premisses being Elected tryed and sworne upon their Oath doe say that the said Thomas Simpson is not Guilty of the perjury aforesaid to him as above in forme aforesaid imposed in manner and forme as by the Indictment aforesaid is supposed against him. Whereupon all and singular the premisses by the Court here being seen and fully Understood it is Considered that the said Thomas Simpson goe thence without Day &c. And because it is averred here in Court that the said Thomas Simpson is of good fame proclamation is made for the Lord Prop^ries as the vse is that if any one would informe his Lordpp Justices &c. the Attorney Gen^ll of any Treason Murder felony or any other Misdemeanor by him Committed or will prosecute against him for any Crime as aforesaid by him done they might come and should be heard, and none Came &c. Therefore Ordt. the said Thomas Simpson be discharged &c.

Verdict not Guilty

Judgment

prov^ll Court april 26th

At a provincial Court held att the City of St Maries the twenty sixth Day of April in the twelfth Year of the Dominion of the R^t Honble Charles Absolute Lord and Prop^ry of the Provinces of Maryland and Avalon Lord Baron of Baltimore &c. Anno Domini 1687 — Att which said twenty sixth Day of April were present ———

The Honble Henry Darnall Esqr, Nicholas Sewall Esqr, Clement Hill Esqr } Justices

adjourned to 27th

Because of noe full Court being met the same was by writt of Adjournment adjourned till the morrow eight of the Clock and all Sherriffes Coron^ers &c. were thereby required to deteyne their precepts till the said morrow being the 27th Day of April aforesaid and then to make returne of them as if the said Court from the said 26th day of April to the said 27th day of April aforesaid had not been adjourned Att which said 27th Day of April were present

The Honble Henry Darnall Esqr, William Digges Esqr, Nicholas Sewall Esqr, Clement Hill Esqr } Justices

Thomas Hinton Bound over to next Court

Thomas Hinton of Calvert County being brought downe to this Court by Vertue of a Venire facias to the Sherriffe of the County aforesaid directed to accompany

to answer such things as on the behalf of the R^t Honble the Lord Prop^ries should be Objected against him noe person then appearing to prosecute it was thought fitt and accordingly by the Court Ordered that the said Thomas Hinton give good and sufficient Security for his appearance next Court and good behaviour in the mean time Whereupon Came into Court the said Thomas Hinton together with William Martin & John Veitch his Securityes who entred into Recognizance as followeth Viz^t

Security required

Recognizance

You Thomas Hinton doe acknowledge to owe unto the R^t Honble the Lord Prop^ry the summe of fifty pounds St^lg and you William Martin and John Veitch and either of you the summe of twenty five pounds St^lg a peice to be levied upon your goods Chattles Lands and Tenements upon Condition that if the aforesaid Thomas Hinton doe and shall make his personall appearance at the next Prov^ll Court to be held in Septr and not depart the said Court without Lyconse as also be of good abbearance and Behaviour as well towards his Lordpp as all other the good people of the province in the mean time that then this Recognizance to be void otherwise to stand remaine and be in full force power and Vertue ———

Day given for appearance untill the next Court

Which Recognizance being read and each party in open Court having given his Assent the said Thomas Hinton was Discharged from the Custody of the Sherriffe aforesaid and day given him for appearance untill next Court

Grand Jury sworne

Then was sworne the Grand Inquest being sixteene in Number the names of whom are as followeth (Viz^t) ———

Justinian Gerrard	Robert Goodrick	Michael Turbutt	Ebenez^r Blackstone
Thomas Holliday	Charles Quigly	Richard Southerne	Richard Bishop
James Sedgwick	Gilbert Turberville	Ebenezer Lomax	Henry Greene
Robert Macklin	John Stanley	John Thoniosa	Erwen O Sheile

Richard Sweatnam forfeiture of Recognizance

Richard Sweatnam being last Court bound over to appear this Court to answer such things as should then on the behalf of the Lord Prop^ry be Objected against him but not appearing when called three times as Usuall nor his security bringing in his body which they Undertooke to have here this day forfeit their Recognizance ———

John Mould forfeiture of Recognizance

John Mould being last Court bound over to appear this Court to answer such things as should then on the behalf of the Lord Prop^ry be Objected against him but not appearing when called three times as Usuall nor his security bringing in his body which they Undertook to have here this Day forfeit their Recognizance