the said Bills of Exchange soe bottom Indorsed and thereof to Accept or pay according to the
intents thereof did then and there absolutely refuse and denye whereupon the said Richard
the said Bills of Exchange soe Indorsed shewed and refuse to be paid afterwards of day and
place last Mentioned by James Fuchs Notary and Sabellion Sutlick dwelling in London
by Royall authority admitted and Sworne according to the Lawes of Merchants did protest
as by the same Protest ready in Court to be produced more at large may appear
and afterwards that is to say the Sixth day of Aug 1695 being after the said first day of
September the said Richard to the said George Layfield Admr of the said William Stevens
as aforesaid the said William Being dead did give Notice that the said Bills of Exchange
were refused to be Accepted or paid according to the tenor thereof and thereon in full
manner protested Nevertheless the said Wm Stevens his promise and Assumption aforesaid
regarding but minding and fraudulently intending him the said Richard in this behalf
craftily and subtilly to deceive and defraud the said William in his Life time for
the said George Admr as aforesaid since his Death the said Sum of Fifty pds Sterling afs
any part thereof to the said Richard hath not paid although the said William
his Life time and the said George Layfield since the Death of the said Wm Admr as
afs to doe the same by the said Richard afterwards the day and place last Mentioned
hath been thereunto required but hitherto refused and still doth refuse to the
Damage of the said Richard of Seventy pds Sterling and thereof he brings suit
And the said George Layfield Admr as afs by Robert Gendt his Attorney
and defends the Force and Injury when &c and saith that the said Wm of the Accon of
the said Richard he ought not ought not to be charged because he saith that
he hath well and truly administred all and singular the Goods and Chattells of
the said William Stevens which came to his hands to be administred and that at the
time of the taking out the Original Writt in this Accon he had not any of the Estate
of the said Wm Stevens in hands unadministred nor since hath Cm to his hands
and of this he prays Judgment

Hewhitt at this day to wit the Nineteenth day of November in the Sixth
year of the Reigne of King William the third Amongst Dominis &c Cum the
Plfs and by their Attorney afs and the Defdt and plea afs being read and heard and
by the Court fully understood it is considered by the Court that the said Richard Finch
receive the Estate goods and Chattells of the said Wm Stevens dead in the hands of
the said George Layfield when Assetts shall come to his hands to be administred
as well the Sum of Fifty pounds Sterling the Debt for Damages occasioned by
the trespass afs as also the Sum of Seven hundred Fifty Eight pounds of Tobacco
Cost of Suit and the Defendant in Mercy

Thomas Janey Admr of Dudman agt Edmund Jones

William Edmund for the Use of the last will and Testament of John Edmund late of Talbott County deceased was attached to Answer unto Thomas Janey Admr of Sophia of Dorset upon the Case and whereupon the said Thomas and Jane his wife by Philip Reed their Attorney complained that whereas the said John in his Life time stood and Owed to the said Henry in his Life time in the full Sum of Nineteen pounds Eleven shillings two pence and half penny sterling and being soe indebted the said John in his Life time to wit the Ninth day of May in the year of our Lord 1694 at Bartons town in Talbott County in Consideration thereof did draw three Bills of Exchange all of one tenor and date according to the Customs of Merchants signed with the proper hand of him the said John and directed to a Certain John Sowle Merchant in London for the payment of Nineteen pounds Six shillings and two pence and one half penny sterling at thirty days Sight of the said Bills of Exchange or any of them to the said Henry Freeman or his Order and the said John Edmund did then and there Assume upon himself and to him the said Henry in his Life time did faithfully promise that if the said John Sowle did not Accept of the said Bills of Exchange for Nineteen pounds six shillings two pence and one half penny sterling and ~~[illegible]~~ the same unto him the said Henry when thereunto required and the said Thomas and Jane in fact say that on the fifteenth day of March in the year 1694 the said Original Bill of Exchange by Jeremiah Jenkins Notary and Sabellion Sutlick by Royall authority admitted and Sworne dwelling in London was duly according to the Customs of Merchants for Acceptance and payment and for want of payment by him the said Jeremiah Jenkins Notary and Sabellion Sutlick as afs was protested according to the due Forme of Law as by the instrument of Protest under the hand and Seale of the said Publick Notary here ready in Court to be produced may more at large appear yet Not withstanding the said John Edmund for in his Life time his promise and Assumption as afs made in the regarding but plotting and fraudulently intending him the said Henry in this behalf craftily and subtilly to deceive and defraud the said Sum of Nineteen pounds Six shillings two pence and one half penny sterling to him the said Henry in his Life time did not pay although often required nor to the said Jane after the Death of the said Henry whilst she was sole to whom Administration of all and singular the Goods and Chattells of the said Henry in due form of Law was committed nor to the said Thomas and Jane since the Marriage between them had and to be made although often required the said Sum of Nineteen pounds six shillings two and one half penny the said John in his Life time to the said Thomas and Jane did not pay nor the said William since the Death of the said John to whom Executor of the last will and Testament