Robert Brudy } Robert Brundy of Talbot County Gent was attached to and was unto Henry Martin of a plea of trespass upon the Case.

And whereupon the said Henry by Jacob Rogerson his attorney complains that whereas the said Henry is a good true faithfull honest Subject of our Lady Queen Anne that now is & was a good faithfull & honest Subject of our said late Lady the Queen & her royal predecessors late Kings of England from the time of his birth hitherto & hath carried behaved & demeaned himself as a — good name fame condition & reputation amongst all his neighbours & — many other Subjects of our said Lady the Queen to whom he was known — during all the time aforesaid was & is reputed & taken & had never committed any offence crime or misdemeanour to the time hereof but had always his words — & dealings followed & used honest & fair way of living & labouring & dealing & did endeavour for a livelihood & support by which means the said Henry — had gotten & acquired to himself considerable gains profit & advantages — & did towards & in the discharge of public & private business & dealings — with divers Subjects of our said now Lady the Queen & more especially the said — Robert not ignorant of the premisses but contriving & maliciously & — intending the said Henry in his credit & his good name fame & reputation — & to bring him also to the said County into scandal infamy & contempt & reproach & to cause him to incur the loss & forfeiture of his goods & chattels & to cause him to incur other penalties & forfeitures in like Cases by the Act of Assembly of this province provided the fifteenth day of September Anno Dñi 1702 at a Court then held for Talbot County at the House of Joseph Nicols — Martyn Comrs & Justices of the peace in the premises & having & bearing — faithfull Subjects of our said now Lady the Queen then & there being & continuing did impose upon & charge the said Henry with the — Crime of a certain Felony & other Misdemeanor specified & mentioned in the said Record of Henry Martin als dictus Henry Martin of Talbot County planter the same day & year & for other the Misdemeanours aforesaid by the Grand Jury of the said County of Talbot duly sworn & maliciously procured to be indicted that — the said Henry the Eighth day of June Anno Dñi 1702 at Poulpoint Neck in — in Talbot County with force & arms one horse of the value of Nine Hundred pounds of Tobacco the proper Goods & Chattels of the said Robert Brundy feloniously did take & — lead away against the peace of our Sovereign Lady the Queen & against the — form of the Act of Assembly in that Case made & provided whereupon the said Henry being then & there in proper person brought to the Bar of the said Court —

(was



was asked how he would be acquitted of the Felony on him in form aforesaid — Imposed and the said Henry did then & there say he was in no wise guilty thereof & did for his tryall put himself upon the County and Mr Rich who for our said Lady the Queen in that behalf did prosecute therefore Command was given to the Sheriff of the County that he impanell twenty Lawfull men of the — neighbourhood where the fact is alledged because as well the said Henry as the said Robert are of the County aforesaid to appear before the Justices of our said Lady the Queen at the Court aforesaid on the Morrow of the Purification of the blessed Virgin Mary then next following to wit the second day of February Anno Dñi 1702 Came the said Richd Mac Rea who prosecuted for our said Lady the Queen & the said Henry Martin in person & of the Sheriff of the County aforesaid was Commanded to the Bar & the Jurors being impannelled & returned Came to wit Jo: Pope James Nicols Wm Muller Edward Oldham & Wm Cathrop Arthur Rigby James Todd Robert Walters Richard Sisar — Nicholas Lowry Jacob Hopper Thos Higgs John Eason & Thomas Foster who being — in the Jurors duly Elected Tryed & Sworn upon their oaths did — say wee of the Jury do find Henry Martin not guilty of the Felony & — Indictm: Suggested against him & thereupon it was Considered by the Court & then & there that the said Henry Martin do have & recover his costs & charges by him about his — said Suit & defence about his Body to be taken & that he may have — execution thereof & that he go thereof without day &c as by the Record of the said Court before the Justices of Talbot County aforesaid remaining in Court appears by — which Record & proceedings of which premisses the said Henry not only in his good name fame & — Reputation is very much Injured but also great Summs of Money for the — purging Discharging & Declaring & Defending his innocency & credit in — the Premisses to expend & Pay out was forced & Compelled to the damage of the said Henry two hundred pounds Sterl & thereof he brings his Suit &c &c Judgt & Damages &c

And the said Robert Brundy by Robert Gould his Attorney comes & — defends the force & injury when &c and says that he is not guilty of the — premisses in the Declaration above laid & of this he puts himself upon the Country &c And the said Henry in like manner &c

It is granted that the same day is given to the parties aforesaid —

At which Court to wit the Twenty Eighth day of September 1703 — Come the said parties by their Attorneys aforesaid And the said Robert Brundy by his said Attorney saith that he is in no wise Guilty of the premisses in the Declaration above laid & of this he puts himself upon the Country. And the — like Command is granted to the Sheriff of Queen Annes County that he cause to come here on the Morrow of the Purification of the blessed Virgin Mary then next following twelve &c as by the said Precept he is Commanded.

Afterwards to wit the same day & year last mentioned Comes the same Henry — Martin by his Attorney aforesaid And the Jurors of the said Jury impannelled being called Came to wit Arthur Rigby Richard Sisar John Eason Wm Barton Thomas Patterson