was commanded —

And now here this day to wit the same day & year
last mentioned comes as well the said parties by their
attorneys afore as the Jurors of a Venire Facias impannelled as afore
as also being called thereupon come to wit Robert Dixon, Richard Wall
Samuel Wells, Thomas Durrington, Matthew Howard, Henry Jones
Henry Waters, Anthony Luly, Thomas Proctor, William Neale
John Welch, & Thomas Taylor to the same duly elected tried &
sworn to say the truth of the premises, and thereupon
the said plaintiff by his atty afore produces to the Court here
and the Jurors afore named as also impannelled his Lordship
to prove his title to the aforementioned land in question
And the said Thomas Hicks by his atty afore demurreth in
Law to the plaintiff's evidence of his title to the land in
question being only the record of a certificate now to the
Court produced and say the same is alledged insufficient
to maintain the same, no warrant or foundation therefor
appearing, neither does there any patent thereupon ensue
to the plaintiffs father and pray judgment of the
Court thereupon — And the plaintiff afore by Thomas Wharton
his attorney says that the Court afore is a sufficient
evidence to maintain his title to the land in question
ceiling warrant & all & prayeth judgment &c. Whereupon
the Jurors afore in form afore impannelled sworn &
charged & that from the same Jurors elected &
the Court afore upon the Country afore joined —

Moreover for that it doth appear to the Court here that
the certificate afore is not a sufficient evidence to maintaine
the said plaintiffs title to the land in question it ceiling warrant
It is considered that the said demurrant recover against the
said plaintiff his answer to be nothing by this writ afore but be
in mercy for his false claime and that the said Thomas Hicks

go thence this verdict day, and it is also considered by the Court here that
the said Thomas Hicks recover against the said William Howard the same
Damages of tobacco
Anno 1644 by the Court adjudged unto him for his costs and charges in this behalf
laid out & demanded by the said plaintiff Thomas Hicks Esq. taken for his place upon
the bench —

Leonard Holliday infant by Thomas Green Esq. his guardian & next friend
Hugh Ridy

Mary Ridy late of Prince George County was attached to answer unto John Smith of Calvert County in a plea of trespass with force and arms &c. for that the said Hugh Ridy into one dwelling house &c. other out houses and one hundred and eighty two acres of land and plantation with the appurtenances called Upper Quilting in which Leonard Holliday an infant by Thomas Greenfield Esq. his guardian and next friend to the said John for a term of years yet to come and unexpired did de-mise did enter and him from his farm afore did expel and other harms to him did to the great damage of the said John and against the peace &c. And whereupon the said John by William Dent his atty complaineth that whereas the said Leonard Holliday by Thomas Green-field Esq. his guardian and next friend the fifteenth day of May in the year of our Lord 1704 at Prince George County afore demised to the said John Smith the land and tenements afore called Upper Quilting lying on the west side of Patuxent river in a fork of the said river on the east side of the aforesaid branch called Collidon Branch beginning at a marked white oake at being the northwest corner tree of a parcell of land called Forth Lett running thence east north east for length two hundred perches to the north east corner tree of the afore said thence north north west for length one hundred forty five perches to a marked oake then west south west two hundred perches thence by a direct line to the first bound tree containing and laid out for one hundred eighty one acres more or less to have and to hold the said lands and tenements with the appurtenances unto him the said John Smith