Wherefore all and singular the premisses being by the Court here duly considered
Deliberation thereupon had for Right Judgment to be given by the Court here that the Plaintiff aforesaid
and the Matter therein contained are not sufficient in Law to maintain the said Defendants
Demurrer above pleaded &c.

Therefore It is Considered by the Justices here that the said Defendants Demurrer be
Allowed and the said John Mayney Attorney and Agent for the said Plaintiff Thomas Dunbar and Jacob Pettingill
his Executors and Assigns do in Mercy for his said False Plaint and that the said Plaintiff
have Judgment against the said John Mayney Attorney and Agent of the said
John Maulden late Earl of Peterborough for his Costs and Damages by him
Defended &c.

Memorandum on the Plaintiff's Side one Mr Francis Offley
William Lewis Defendant. the Tenth day of March following in the Records &c.

Thomas Dunbar } Memorandum came the Plaintiff by Mr Francis Offley his Attorney and
against the Defendant. William Lewis Defendant in his proper person and
being warned at a Court held at Camarthen for the County of Camarthen on the
10th Day of October Anno Dni 1707 before the Justices of Her Majesty's Court of Queen's Bench
of the same Court a Plaint for this Place against the said Defendant of a Plaint
of the same Court Wherein the said Thomas Dunbar doth Complain that the said Defendant
from Michaelmas for Hundred Pounds Two pounds of Tobacco which Goods he the said Defendant
had bought of him the said Plaintiff in the Province of Maryland in America and
Gentleman of Virginia the said Thomas at the Place aforesaid Remaining and being
Manifestly appears therefore to the said Court Wherefore he the said Defendant Lewis
Ought to make Good Payment of the said Goods and Chattels so by him the said Thomas
from him the said Defendant in the said Court Hereof Demanded and Prayed
Judgment against the said Defendant Lewis to the said Court and Damages
Thereon that he the said Defendant appear before the Justices of the said Court
Here the Day of March next to Answer the said Plaint (Attorney) Rolls the said Plaint Thomas Dunbar
Which upon Demurring the Defendant at the said Court Lewis Defendant
according to the Form of the Statute in the said Plaint Mentioned and the said Plaintiff
and Defendant Lewis not being Present the said Plaintiff Thomas Dunbar
Demanded Judgment against the said Defendant Lewis Wherefore the said Court
Considering the said Plaint Demurrer and