That now is to and of the same John Berry maliciously and
falsely spoke the published and proclaimed the English words following or
words to the same John Mayney at an ale house and that the said John
Mayney again) at a public market house and a public place called Bury Rogue
and the said Jeremiah Mayney) will prove upon the said John Mayney
) and that the said Jeremiah of his further malice the same day year and
place maliciously and falsely spoke the published and proclaimed to and of the
said John the English words following or words to the same John Mayney
and a Quaker Rogue by pretext of the speaking publishing and declaring
of which malicious false opprobrious and slanderous words of the same
Jeremiah of the same John which he never was guilty of any
such roguery Devil Rogery that he mayney or any other knave
either the said John not only stand in his good name fame and reputation
Divers evil minded and wicked wishers by Divers subtile of our said
the Queen have with drawn their company and acquaintance from him
and also is in danger of the loss of the Queen and royal favour in the
Justice Jeffreys towards him and of suffering in his spiritualities in the
premises aforesaid to the damage of the said John one hundred pounds and
therefore he brings this suit &c. Wager &c. Jur Dec &c. Pledges &c.

And the said Jeremiah Sampson by William his attorney comes and defends
the force and injury when &c. and prays leave to imparle thereunto until
next Court and the Bailiff and the same day is given to the plaintiff alias
At which said next Court to wit the 11th Day of October anno Dni 1710
comes again the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said Jeremiah Sampson
by his said attorney prays further leave to imparle thereunto until next Court and the
Bailiff and the same day is given to the plaintiff alias
At which said next Court to wit the 1st Day of July anno Dni 1710 comes
again the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said Jeremiah Sampson by
his said attorney prays further leave to imparle thereunto until next Court and the
Bailiff and the same day is given to the plaintiff alias
At which said next Court to wit the 15th Day of October anno Dni 1710 comes
again the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, and the said Jeremiah Sampson by
his said attorney prays further leave to imparle thereunto until next Court and the
Bailiff and the same day is given to the plaintiff alias
At which said next Court to wit the 10th Day of April anno Dni 1711
comes again as well the said Sir Gilbert by his attorney aforesaid as the said Jeremiah
Sampson by his attorney aforesaid, and thereupon the pleadings and determination
of the action aforesaid by the Court and Contract of parties plaintiff and defendant
aforesaid to wit Hollandbury Eldemon Lloyd and George Gale Gent
Arbitrators appointed according to the form of the statute) to make their
determination of the said action, and make their report thereof to the
Court here immediately

And now here at this day towards the same day year and place aforesaid
come again as well the said parties plaintiff and defendant by their
attorneys aforesaid to wit the said Sir Holland Eldemon Lloyd and George Gale

(Who)

Who having made their determination of the action aforesaid do make their report following to wit Sampson Gale against Sampson Gale upon the action of William Holland Eldemon Lloyd George Gale which being read and heard is not gainsaid by the plaintiff and defendant therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said John Gale have his costs by him with out of the said Jeremiah Sampson to his table Gaman and that the said Jeremiah Sampson do have of his action aforesaid against the said John Gale the same of [illegible] pounds of the same by the Court here adjudged and the said John Gale and Eleanor his wife be in the custody of the Marshal of the Queen's Bench Prison &c.

Eldemon Lloyd his Mary his wife
Mary Gale
Thomas Eyemon
Thomas Eyemon

Also whereas it appears to us in Court here that Thomas Eyemon of St. Mary Cray in the County of Kent Esq. was attached to answer unto Eldemon Lloyd Esq. and Margaret his wife of a plea of trespass on the case &c.

And whereupon the said Eldemon and Mary by William Hunt their attorney complained that whereas the aforesaid Thomas Eyemon the eighteenth day of October in the year of our Lord 1707 at the County of Carmarthen in the province aforesaid according to the form between Gentlemen and others of the said province of Wales and the City of London in England of old used and accustomed with the power thereunto belonging date the day and year aforesaid to the said Richard Savage Esq. at the Exchange Street in London aforesaid by the same bill required the said Phillip Savage at twenty days sight thereof next ensuing the date of the same bill and date not being paid to pay unto the aforesaid Mary or her order the same of ten pounds for the value received of her the said Richard Savage aforesaid on the fifteenth day of July anno Dni 1708 at London aforesaid and then and there was required to pay the said sum of ten pounds and to the order of the aforesaid Margaret and the aforesaid Eldemon and Mary by virtue that the aforesaid Richard Savage after twenty days sight of the bill aforesaid and date aforesaid to the aforesaid Mary or her order the aforesaid sum of ten pounds did and that for want of payment thereof the aforesaid Mary within the after twenty days sight of the bill aforesaid by the aforesaid Richard and on the fifteenth day of July anno Dni 1708 aforesaid at London aforesaid protested on account for the said not being paid according to the form of Merchants aforesaid whereof the said Eldemon and Mary by Notice and in consideration thereof and of the same aforesaid the same Thomas the same day and year aforesaid at the County of Carmarthen aforesaid and upon him the said Thomas the aforesaid sum of ten pounds and together with all the damages and costs by the said Mary sustained by reason of the protesting of the said aforesaid to the said Eldemon and Mary well and truly to be paid when after thereunto required nevertheless the aforesaid Thomas his promise and assumption aforesaid not regarding but fraudulently intending the said Eldemon and Mary in this part to defraud and wholly to deprive the said plaintiff of the said sum of ten pounds and the damages and costs aforesaid and any part thereof to the said Eldemon and Mary or either of them altho' required the seventeenth day of April anno Dni 1709 aforesaid

(at)