639 premisses upon their Oathes do say that the said John Rogers being present here in Court —
Is Guilty of the premisses ~~[illegible]~~ — Whereupon the said John Rogers by his attorney aforesaid prays time to move
in Stay of Judgment on the Verdict aforesaid and the same day is given to Wm Bladen her majtys said attorney
Gen.ll who for her said Majty in this behalf prosecuteth also — All which said Tuesday to wit —
the 14th day of April being the same Court adjourned Comes again as well the said Wm Bladen her majtys
said attorney Genll who for her said majty in this behalf prosecuteth as the said John Rogers by his
attorney aforesaid and the said John Rogers by his said attorney filed the following Reasons in Stay of Judgment
on the Verdict aforesaid viz — Reasons in Stay of Judgment upon the Verdict obtained by her majty
against John Rogers — 1st The Indictment is that the Jurors upon their Oathes did wilfully contrary to
all precedents and as if the Jurors had different sort of Oathes administred them —
2d Its not said what the — Its not said that the bribe ~~[illegible]~~ taken was Colonl office —
bribe was taken for nor that the value of the goods taken was more than his just fees nor
is it expressed what the just fees was — 4th Concludes not Contra pacem — 5th Concludes against the
Statute of 13 & 14 Car.2 the said Laid is no ways within it, nor does the Statute warrant
the Indictment — 6th the Indictment is insufficient uncertaine and wanting formes —
And because the Justices here as yet are not advised of the rendering their Judgment of
upon the Indictment & Verdict aforesaid day thereof is given as well to the said Wm Bladen her majtys
said attorney Genll who for her said Majty in this behalf prosecuteth as the said John Rogers
until next Court to hear their Judgment for that the said Justices as yet are not advised
All which said next Court to wit the 15th day of July Anno Domi 1712 Comes again
as well the said Wm Bladen her majtys said attorney Genll who for her said Majty in this
behalf prosecuteth as the said John Rogers by his attorney aforesaid and for that the Justices here
as yet are not advised of the rendering their Judgment upon the Indictment & Verdict aforesaid
day thereof is further given as well to the said Wm Bladen her majtys said attorney Genll who
for her said Majty in this behalf prosecuteth as to the said John Rogers until next Court to
here thereof their Judgment for that the said Justices as yet are not advised — All which said
next Court to wit the 7th day of October Anno Domi 1712 Comes again as well the said Wm
Bladen her majtys said attorney Genll who for her said Majty in this behalf prosecuteth as the said
John Rogers by his attorney aforesaid whereupon all and singular the premisses being by the Court here
seen heard and fully understood for that it seems to the Court here that the Indictment aforesaid
matter therein contained are not sufficient for the Court to give Judgment upon the Verdict aforesaid
Therefore it is considered by the Justices here the day & year last mentioned that the said
John Rogers of the Indictment and premisses aforesaid go thereof Quietus &c

And Hermay } Maryland ss. We of the grand Jury for the body of the province do present John
Att John Rogers } Rogers late of Calvert County for not recording & returning a certain deed or obligat.n
by information of Mr Mahany sworne by and Wm Fitzcatnam foreman
Whereupon the said John Rogers being called appears and thereupon the said John Rogers
is ordered to give recog.ce in the sum of forty pounds sterl. with two sureties in the
sums of twenty pounds sterl. each for his appearance next Court to answer unto the aforesaid
presentment & then going and good behaviour — Whereupon the said John Rogers acknowledgeth
himself to owe & stand justly indebted unto our Sovereign Lady Queen Anne &c in the
sum of forty pounds sterl. & Thos Gouldsmith & Sam Hanson of
Charles County Gent. acknowledge themselves to owe and stand justly indebted
unto our said Sovereign Lady Queen Anne &c in the sum of twenty pounds sterl. each
all of which acknowledgements that the said severall sums may be levyed respectively of them
every of their bodies goods or chattels lands or tenements to the use of her said Majty
her heirs or successors in case the said John Rogers do not make his personall appearance
at the next prov.ll Court to be held for the province of Maryland to answer unto the aforesaid
presentment & then found and in the mean time be of good behaviour as well towards
her said majty and all other her liege people &c — And thereupon the said John
Rogers by Edmond Hunt his attorney appearing and prays Leave to Answer unto the said
(presentment)

640 presentment until next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to Wm Bladen Esq.r her majtys said attorney
Genll who for her said majty in this behalf prosecuteth also — All which said next Court to wit the 8th day of
April Anno Domi 1713 Comes again as well the said Wm Bladen her majtys said attorney Genll who for her said
majty in this behalf prosecuteth as the said John Rogers in his proper person who being called appeared and
according to his recog.ce & the said Wm Rogers by his attorney aforesaid prays further leave to answer
unto the presentment until next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the said Wm Bladen her majtys said attorney Genll who for her said majty in this behalf prosecuteth
also — All which said next Court to wit the 15th day of July Anno Domi 1713 Comes again as well the said Wm Bladen
her majtys said attorney Genll who for her said majty in this behalf prosecuteth as the said John Rogers by his attorney
aforesaid and thereupon the said Wm Rogers by his attorney aforesaid says that he ought not to be
thereof ought to be Quashed & whereupon all and singular the premisses being by the Court here
heard and fully understood and matters of Judicial thereon had It is therefore considered by the Justices
here of Quashed &c and that the said John Rogers go
hence without day &c — Parcell mentioned that the prosecution aforesaid be quashed and that the said John Rogers go
thereof Quietus &c

Wm Hemsley Esq. Quitt Rents in Maryland } Mary.d Mahany of St Marys County widow was attached to
Pltff } answer unto Wm Hemsley of aforesaid Quitt Rents Esq. Therefore with force and Armes &c and
Mary.d Mahany — parcell of Land called the hope lying in the County aforesaid beginning at a round
white Oak standing in a fork of the branch of Trent Creek land thence with a line drawn
north and by west and bounding with a branch for the length of one hundred & forty perches to a bounded
white Oak standing on the side of a hill at the head of the said branch then with the line drawn
and by north for the length of two hundred perches to a bounded white Oak standing on a plain thence
with a line drawn south & by east forty perches and to another branch to a bounded white Oak on a hill at
the head thereof thence bounding with a running to the first bounded tree containing one hundred and seven
acres more or less with the appurtenances with certain Quit Rents Carrying out the said Wm for a number
of years yet to come and unexpired had demised did enter and him from his farm aforesaid did eject and
disposed and other harmes to him did to the great damage of the said Wm and against the peace of our
Soveraigne Lady the Queen that now is —
And whereupon the same Wm by Da Dulany his attorney complaining that whereas the said Quitt Rents
the tenth day of January Anno Domi one thousand seven hundred and eleven at St Marys County
aforesaid the said premisses with the appurtenances to the said Wm did demise grant and to
farm let & that the said premisses with the appurtenances to the said Wm by
and his assigns from the said tenth day of January in the year aforesaid until the full end and term of
five years next following to be fully complete and ended by virtue of which demise the said
from unto the said premisses aforesaid with the appurtenances the said Quitt Rents was thereof possessed
until the said said Mary the seventh day of January in the year aforesaid at the County aforesaid
Armes &c into the land & premisses aforesaid upon the possession of the same Wm thereof
Entered and him the said Wm from his farm aforesaid and thereof expelled did eject
expell and amove and the said Wm so ejected expelled and amoved from thence hath
held out and still doth hold out and other harmes to him then thereof did and the peace
of the said Lady the Queen that now is & to the damage of the said Wm one hundred pounds sterl. &c
therefore he brings his suit &c

And the said Mary Mahany by Wm Bladen her attorney comes and defends the force and injury
when &c and prays Leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it and the same
day is given to the pltff also — All which said next Court to wit the 7th day of October Anno Domi
1713 Comes again the said Wm Hemsley Esq. of the said Quitt Rents by his attorney aforesaid and prays that
the said Mary Mahany to his bill in this plea may answer — And thereupon Wm Bladen attorney
for the said Mary Mahany as before defendant for the Jury when &c says that he is not
guilty of the premisses in the plea of any thing to plead in bar or avoidance
of the action aforesaid & prays that he may be dismissed with his reasonable costs and
Pltff remained against the said defendant wrongfully and injuriously detained — Therefore it is considered by the
Justices here the day & year last mentioned that the said Wm Hemsley Esq. of the said Quitt
(Rents)