Queen agt him the said Bus brought ought not to be Admitted to plead because the said attny Genl saith that he to wtt at the prov Court of our Lady the Queen here holden before the hon[ble] William Holland Esq and others his associate Justices of the same Court the twelfth day of October in the ninth year of the reigne of our said Lady the Queen declared agt the said Dus Scott here in this Court upon the plaint as in forma as to which dec[n] as aforesaid the said Edward in the same Court hath prayd Liberty of Imparling thereunto till the second tuesday of Aprill next coming and then to Ans to the said attny Genl of the pleas aforesaid and had [illegible] as it appears by the record of the aforesaid Court and this the said attny Genl for our said Lady the Queen is ready to Verifie Wherefore he prays Judgmt if the aforesd Dus the aforesaid Abdlem[t] of that writ aforesaid ought to be admitted to plead &c

And the aforesaid Edward Scot saith that the plea of the aforesaid Tom Bladen attny Genl who for her s[d] Majty in this behalf prosecuteth above in replying pleaded and the matter therein contained are not Sufft in law for the aforesaid Tom Bladen attny Genl as aforesaid the Action aforesaid against him the said Dus Scott to have & maintain and that he to that plea in Manner & form aforesaid replying pleaded hath no need nor by the Law of the Land is bound to Ans and this he is ready to Verifie whereof he prays Judgmt if the aforesaid Tom Bladen attny Genl as aforesaid the Action aforesaid against him to have ought to be &c

Whereupon all and Singular the premises being by the Court here seen heard and fully understood and Mature deliberation being thereupon had for that it Seems to the Court here that the plea of the aforesaid Tom Bladen Esq attny Genl as aforesaid above in replying alledged and the Matter therein Contained are not Sufft in law for the aforesaid Tom Bladen Esq Attny Genl as aforesaid the Action aforesaid against the same Dus Scott to have & maintain

Therefore it is Considered by the Justices here the 13th day of July Anno Domini 1714 aforesaid that the said Tom Bladen Esq for her majtys Attny Genl who for her said Majty in this behalf prosecuteth as aforesaid shall have nothing by the writ aforesaid and that the said Dus Scott be as aforesaid thereof without day and also it is Considered by the Justices here that the said Dus Scott recover against the said Tom Bladen Esq Attny Genl aforesaid the Sume of [illegible] by the Court here adjudged unto him for his Costs and Charges by him about his defence in this behalf And the said Tom Bladen Esq Attny Genl aforesaid in mercy for his false Complaint &c

Rd Joseph Hill & Comm Denwood & Dame Jane Groome } Mary Ann Ely } Esq Levin Denwood late of Somersett County Gent[n] admr &c of all & Singular the goods Chattells rights & Credits which were of Samuel Groome late of the City of London Merchant Deceased



was attached to ans[r] unto Joseph Hill of a plea of trespass upon the case &c And whereupon the said Joseph by Tom Bladen his attny Complaineth that whereas the said Plaint[f] in his lifetime to wit at Anne Connly the twenty eth day of May in the twelfth year of the reigne of our Sovr Lady the Queen of Great Brittain that now is was Indebted to the said Joseph in the Sume of forty Seven pd Sterl and five pence for so much Money of the said Joseph layed out & paid and five pence for so much Money of the said Joseph layed out & paid for the said Sam[l] by him the said Sam[l] had and received by the Amount thereof to Court brought may appear And being so Indebted the said Sam[l] in his lifetime to wit the day & year aforesaid first mentioned upon himself assumed and unto the said Joseph then & there faithfully promised that he the said Sam[l] the aforesaid forty Seven pd Sterl five pence and five pence when he should be thereunto required he would well & truly pay and Content the same unto the said Joseph but his lifetime did the said Levin after the death of the said Sam[l] the promise and assumpt[n] of the said Sam[l] in his lifetime so as aforesaid not in the least regarding but Contriving and fraudulently Intending the said Joseph in this behalf Craftily & Subtilly to deceive & defraud the Sume of forty Seven pd Sterl five pence and five pence Sterl any of thereof to the said Joseph altho the said Sam[l] in his lifetime often times with the first day of July in the year aforesaid And the said Levin Since the death of the said Sam[l] to wit the fourth day of August in the year aforesaid at the County aforesaid by the said Joseph thereunto were required have not paid or Contented nor hath either of them paid or Contented the said Joseph therefore but the same to him to pay or Content have altogether refused and the said Levin the same to him the said Joseph to pay or Content hitherto altogether refuseth to the damage of the said Joseph of fifty Pounds Sterl and thereupon he brings his Suit &c

Mr Sam[l] Groome Dr to the Estate &c

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| To Sundry bills of Exchange | £ 9 4 11 | By Coulbre | £ 3 0 0 |
| Sept 12th 1706 To Sundry bills of Exchd Sent to Mr Sam[l] Groome & Co[s] | | By Exchange on Sir Gobt Dunbley | 2 14 6 |
| To Levins draft on Sir Gobt Dunbley | 2 14 6 | By Mr Geo Wall bill on Mr Lloyd | 5 18 0 |
| To Mr Lucas Lucas one draft on Capt Hyde | 15 0 0 | By Exchange for Mr Abbot | 7 6 |
| To Mr Clarom Rainey on the said Bond for | 23 9 10 | | 9 0 0 |
| To Mr George Locke draft on Mr David Dennis | 5 18 0 | Due to bad | 47 6 5 |
| | 46 6 5 | | 56 6 5 |
| | | May 20 1713 Errors Excepted | per Ja: Holt Hyde |

And the said Levin Denwood adm[r] as aforesaid by Mr Dallam his attny comes & defends the force and Injury when &c and prays Leave to Imparle to the next Court & he hath it & the same day is given to the Pl[t] also

At which said next Court to wit the 13th day of April Anno Domini 1714 Comes again as well the said Pl[t] by his attny as the said Def[t] by his attny and the Def[t] by his said attny prays further Leave to Imparle thereunto till the next Court and he hath it & the same day is given to the Pl[t] also

(44)