of the premisses so as aforesaid attached in his hands ought to be dis-
And the aforesaid James by his attorney aforesaid saith that he by anything
predalledged by the said Nathaniel from leaveing Condemnation of the
premisses aforesaid in the hands of the said Nathaniel ought not to be precluded
because Saith that the aforesaid Nathaniel at the time of the laying the attach-
ment aforesaid in his hands viz.t the fourteenth day of March Anno Domini seventeen hundred
and thirteen at Kent County aforesaid had in his hands of the Goods of the said
Robert to the Value of two hundred eighty one pounds nine shillings and
two pence sterling and the said Nathaniel likewise

Hereupon Command is given to the Sheriff of Anne Arundel County that he
Immediately cause to come here twelve &c. by whom &c. who neither &c.
to recognize &c. because &c. to the Court, Now here at this day, to wit this
to wit the Last day of Sept.r Anno Domini 1714 aforesaid make return that he has here ready &c.
&c. as by his precept to him directed, And now here also the
with the same day & year come as well the said plaintiff as the said defend.t
by their attorneys aforesaid and the Jurors of the Jury impannelled who
being called likewise come to wit, John Cooke, Edw.d Hornes, Thos.
Long, Wellcome Collison, Thos Corkey, Richard Willis, Thos. Hicks, Jno.
Robert Hughes, Richard Davis, Robert Crane Carpenter, Elling[illegible]
and Stephen Burle who being duly Elected tryed and Sworne to say
the truth in the premisses upon their Oaths do say, that the aforesaid
at the time of the laying the attachment aforesaid in their hands viz.t the
fourth day of March Anno Domini seventeen hundred and thirteen at
Kent County aforesaid had not in their hands of the effects of the said
Robert Dunkley to the Value of two hundred eighty one pounds
nine shill.s and two pence sterl.g [illegible]

Therefore it is Considered by the Justices here this 21.st day of Sept.r
Anno Domini 1714 aforesaid that the said James Harris Esq.r aforesaid recover
by this writt of Attachment aforesaid against the said Nathaniel Hynson Gard.r as aforesaid and
that the said Nathaniel Hynson Gard.r as aforesaid go thence without day -

Dd writt Samuel Wallis & George Harris Esq.rs of Arthur Gotherey — Memd. the pl.t sued out ten-
mandatory writt of this date against the Goods
&c. the forme of which follows in these
words Viz.t

Wm. John Hodg — Maryland ss. ANNE by the grace of God of Great
Brittain France and Ireland Queen defender of the faith &c. To the
Sheriff

Sheriff of Baltimore County Greeting whereas a certain Arthur Young Lately
in our provincial Court of Maryland (viz.t) on the twelfth day of October in
the tenth year of our reign &c. Anno Domini seventeen hundred and Four
before the Honble John Holland Esq.r and his Associate then Justices of our said
Court at Annapolis by Consid.n of the same Court recovered ag.st one
John Stoakes of Baltimore County Gent.r as well the Sum of Ten pounds Sterl.g
debt and Six pence for certain damages as also the Sum of six hundred and
Ninety four lb.s of Tobacco which by the same Court was then and there adjudged
unto the said Arthur Young for his Costs and Charges by him about his
Suit in that behalf laid out and Expended whereof the said John Stoakes is
Convict as by the record and proceed.s thereof in our said Court remaining before
our said Justices manifestly appears and for that Execution thereof is yet remaining
to be done and whereas the said Arthur Young is since deced as by the Testim.ls
of Sam.l Wallis and George Harris Executors of the last will and Testament of
the said Arthur Young deced we have seen in our said Court and we being
willing that those things which are rightly done in our said Court should be
brought to due Execution Command you that by good and lawfull men of your
Bailywick you make known unto the said John Stoakes that he be and appear
before our Justices of our prov.l Court to be held at Annapolis the first Tuesday
of October next to show Cause if any thing for himself he hath or know well
to say why the aforesaid Sam.l Wallis and George Harris Esq.r as aforesaid Execution of
the damages and Costs aforesaid ought not to be had of him the said John Stoakes according to the
forme of the recovery aforesaid they ought not to be had if to him it shall seem
meet and have you then there the Names of those by whom you make
the same known and this writt Witness William Holland Esq.r Chief
Justice of our said Court this 18.th day of July in the 11.th year of our reign
Ann.o Dom.i 1712 — (Wm Holland) — J. Brooke Cl.k

At which said first tuesday of October to wit the 7.th day of the same
Month Anno Domini 1712 being the day of the return of the said writt Comes
the said Samuel Wallis & George Harris Esq.rs as aforesaid by Cornell Hunt
their attorney as also the Sheriff of Balt.r County to whom the aforegoing writt
was directed likewise Comes and makes return thereof to the Court here
Endorsed in these words Viz.t &c.

By Vertue of the within precept to me directed I Cause the to the Justices
within mentioned that I have made known unto the within named John
Stoakes of being and appearing before the Justices within mentioned
at the day & place within Contained to shew Cause (if any he hath) why
the within named Samuel Wallis and George Harris Esq.rs of the last will
and testament of Arthur Young) should not have Judgm.t & Exec.n for the
debt and Costs within Specified before Adam Burchfield and Sam.l Smith
good & Lawfull men of my Bailywick as within I am Commanded — James Prosbury Sher.d
(Ba.o Engor)