At which said next Court to wit the 12th day of Aprile Anno Dom 1715 Come again the said Parties pltf and deft by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said deft by his said Attorney prays further leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it Same day & given to the pltf Also —

At which said next Court to wit the 18th day of Sept Anno Dom 1715 Come again the said Parties pltf and deft by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said deft by his said Attny prays further leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the pltf Also —

At which said next Court to wit the first day of May Anno Dom 1716 Come again the said Parties pltf and deft by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said deft by his said Attorney prays further Leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court he hath it and the same day is given to the pltf Also —

At which said next Court to wit the third day of July Anno Dom 1716 Come again the said Parties pltf and deft by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said deft by his Attny prays further leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the pltf Also —

At which said next Court to wit the 11th day of Sept Anno Dom 1716 Come again the said parties pltf and defend by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said deft by his said Attorney prays further Leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the pltf Also —

At which said next Court to wit the 9th day of April Anno Dom 1717 Come again the said Parties pltf and deft by their Attny aforesaid and the said deft by his said Attorney prays further Leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the pltf Also —

At which said next Court to wit the 15th day of Sept Anno Dom 1717 Come again the said parties pltf and deft by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said deft by his said Attorney prays further Leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the pltf Also —

At which said next Court to wit the 7th day of October Anno Dom 1717 Come again the said parties pltf and defend by their Attneys aforesaid and the said deft by his said Attorney prays further Leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it and the same day is given to the pltf Also —

At which said next Court as well Come again the said parties John Hyde by his Attorney aforesaid as the said James Crooke by his said Attorney ~~[illegible]~~ he has before defended the force and Injury when &c and saith that the said deft aforesaid and the matter therein contained is not just Suffic in law for the aforesaid John Hyde his Action aforesaid against him the same James to have and maintaine and that he the said deft hath no need nor by the law of the land is held to Answer and this he

(is)

is ready to verifie Wherefore for want of a Sufficient deft the same James prays Judgment and that the aforesaid John Hyde from having his Action aforesaid against the same James Crooke may be precluded &c And for Cause of Demurr in law to wit that deft according to the forme of the Statute in such Cases made and provided the same James shews to this Court here the following — That although it be alledged that the deft recd goods wares and Merchandizes from the pltf by the hands of James Waldie Walter Norton and Jno Gandier to the value of Six pounds Sterl yet that it is not alledged therein how much he recd by the hands of Each distinctly or to what value as according to the Law it ought to have been — In the like that the deft might plead Severally to Each receipt and for that the said Deed is Insufficient uncertain and wants forme —

And the said John Hyde for that he hath above Declared Sufficient matter in Law to have and maintain his Action aforesaid against the above James Crooke which he is ready to verifie which matter the aforesaid James Crooke doth not Gainsay nor there unto in any wise Answer but that altogether refused the same Doth Hyde prays Judgment for and his damages by Occasion of the premises aforesaid to him to be adjudged &c —

Whereupon all and Singular the premises being by the Court here seen heard and fully understood and Mature deliberation thereupon had for that it seems to the Court here that the said John Hyde hath above declared Sufficient matter in Law to have and maintain his Action aforesaid against the said James Crooke —

Therefore it is Considered by the Justices here the 8th day of April Anno Dom 1718 aforesaid that the said James Crooke render unto the said John Hyde accordable Account of the time wherein he was factor and Receiver of the Goods Wares & Merchandizes of the said John in Ann Arundell County &c and that the said James be in Mercy because he has not before Accounted —

Mr Jones the true intent & meaning of this Court That the deft is to Account for no more than the pltf can prove he has recd which is the Second that the Judgment is given as aforesaid And that the Auditors to be appointed proceed as in other Cases —

Test Tho Clarke Cl Cur

Mary Land ss

John Hands Leiman of Cecil County Merchant otherwise called Capt John Hans van Steelman was Summoned to Answer unto Thomas Clarke of a plea that he render unto him the Sum of One hundred and forty pounds Lawfull Money of America which he owes & unjustly detains &c —

And thereupon the same Thomas by Daniel Hunt his Attny says that he the said John the twenty Eighth day of March in the year of our Lord Seventeen

(Cumd)

Mr John Hands Leiman