Pltf John Knighton Lessee of Nath. Hyde — vs — Deft Gilbert Falconar

This being an Action of Trespass in Ejectment whereon it is Opened by the Court that the Depos. of Wm Conway James Smith Evidences now attending be taken on Both sides that they be Evidences on triple by Consent of Both parties And it being Suggested by the Pltff that there is a Material Variance between the Original Deed of the Land in Dispute to Andrew Hamblaston & the Record thereof Ordered that the Deft or the said Andrew Hamblaston produce the said Original Deed on the Tryall of this Cause Next Court Thereupon the said Action is Continued untill next Court at the prayer of Deft.

Pltf John Clement Lessee Henry Bullock — vs — Deft William Yelverton Esq.

This being a Trespass in Ejectment wherein the Deft by Dan. Delaney his Attorney appears and takes Defence for one half of the Land in dispute — Thereupon the said Action is Continued untill next Court

Pltff Pl. DD John Hacker Lessee of Phil Lloyd Esq. — vs — Deft Edward Lloyd Esq.

This being a Trespass in Ejectment wherein it is Ruled by the Court that the Depos. of the Evidences taken by Virtue of Chancery Commission at the request of Colo Lloyd be Allowed & Admitted as Evidence in Law in Ejectment Depending to weigh so farr as if they were Delivered at the bar upon the Tryall bina fide — Provided the Pltff may have the Liberty of Examining the same Evidences and that such Examination be allowed in same Manner Thereupon the Action is Continued at the prayer of the Pltff untill next

Pltff Pl. DD John Hacker Lessee of Phil James Lloyd — vs — Deft Edw. Lloyd Esq.

The same rule in this Action as in the last Action —

DD John Mitchell Lessee Jacob Moreland Intruder Robert Holston Tenant

There Issued a Copy of a Declaration in Ejectment in this Cause — but there is no return made thereof

September Court 1718.

Pltff Walter Story Mich. Martin Exr of Ann Lynes who was Exr of Philip Lynes — vs — Deft Sir John Rowsby Knt and Ann his wife

This being an Appeal which Stood Cont.d to this Court and Now this Court the Judgment is Reversed, Whereupon the Court Entered Cur. ad Quer. untill next Court

Pltff The Same — vs — Deft John Rowsby and Ann his wife Deft Ad. Geo. Plater Esq.

Error The same Rule in this as in the last Action

Pltff Geo. Fitz — vs — Deft Wm Grisby

This being an Appeal which Stood Continued to this Court and Now this Court it is Ruled that unless Cause be Shewn to the Contrary next Court the Judgment be Reversed

Pltff Joseph Jones — vs — Deft Wm Taylor

This being a Writt of Error is.d Stood Cont.d to this Court and Now this Court the Controversy and Dispute in this Action is by the Court and Consent of the parties Pltff and Deft Referred to Colo Ste. Addison Jr. Stodart and Mr. George Noble or any two of them according to the form of the Statute and they to make their Award in the premises returnable next Court and Judgment finall to be given thereon their Award — And thereupon the said Action is Continued untill next Court

Pltff Pl. DD Walter Quinton ad.r of Thod.s Bonner — vs — Deft Richard Gilpeman Esq.

Error There Issued a Writt of Error in this Cause but there is no return made thereof

Pltff Lordship — vs — Deft Roger John Sawer

There is an Indictment found this Court ag.st the Deft wherein it is ordered by the Court Pltff Issue ag.st the Deft Returnable next Court — And thereupon the said Action is Continued untill next Court