the sd Action made on the Seventh or the twenty Seconnth day of October which should be in
the year of our Lord God One thousand Seven hundred and Eighteen by virtue of which Action the
sd Laughlin on the Seventh or twenty Seventh day of October in the year above first mentioned
into the Mayoralty & Command of the said William did enter and there & was possessed and
the same Mayoralty & Command with the Appurtenances did occupy Hold and Occupy and the aforesaid
fifteen pounds for one years wages on the sd twenty Seventh day of October in the year one
thousand Seven hundred Eighteen were in Arrear and still Unpaid by which Action & Cause
with the same William Howard did Demand of & from the sd Laughlin the sd fifteen pounds &
the sd Action made and also without the sd Laughlin the first day of March in the year
first above mentioned or sd Action the Jurisdiction of this Court & from the same William
in the parts of the Province aforesaid to expend unto the same William when there of
afterward he should be required known which the sd Laughlin at the time required the aforesaid
fifteen pounds unto the same William not but hath wrongfully detained but that unto him hitherto to
wit at Kent aforesaid in the Province aforesaid to wit that is the second
day of October in the twelfth year of the Reign of our Sovereign Lord George by the Grace of God
of Great Britain France & Ireland King Defender of the Faith &c.

Mr Howard pltfs Attorney

Cur the Count of the aforesaid Declaration and the Defendant by his

Attorney did say that the sd William ought not to have or maintain his aforesaid

Action against him the sd Laughlin because he said that the sd William

ought to have sued for the said fifteen pounds in the Court of Chancery

of the Province aforesaid and not in this Court and this he is ready to verify

Wherefore he prayed Judgment if the sd William ought to have or maintain

his aforesaid Action against him the sd Laughlin in this Court &c.

And the sd William as to the Plea by the said Laughlin above pleaded

by way of Answer says that he ought not to be barred from having his

aforesaid Action against him the sd Laughlin because he says that

the Province of Maryland is divided into three Counties viz. Kent

Talbot & Somerset Counties and that the sd William & Laughlin

at the time of the Action aforesaid accrued were & still are Inhabitants

of Kent County aforesaid and that the sd Action aforesaid accrued

to the sd William in the said County of Kent and not elsewhere

and this he is ready to verify Wherefore he prayed Judgment if the

sd Laughlin ought to be admitted to say contrary to the Record

and Deed of the said William by the sd Laughlin pleaded &c.

And the sd Laughlin as to the Answer of the sd William by him

above pleaded says that the sd William ought not to have or maintain

his aforesaid Action against him the sd Laughlin because he says

that the sd William at the time of the Action aforesaid accrued

was & still is an Inhabitant of Talbot County and not of Kent

County and this he is ready to verify Wherefore he prayed Judgment

if the sd William ought to have or maintain his aforesaid Action

against him the sd Laughlin in this Court &c.

And the sd William as to the Plea by the said Laughlin last above

pleaded says that the sd William ought not to be barred from having

his aforesaid Action against him the sd Laughlin because he says

that the sd William at the time of the Action aforesaid accrued

was & still is an Inhabitant of Talbot County and not of Kent

County and this he is ready to verify Wherefore he prayed Judgment

if the sd Laughlin ought to be admitted to say contrary to the Record

and Deed of the said William by the sd Laughlin pleaded &c.

And the sd William in as much as he is not Master in the Law for him the said William his Action
aforesaid against the said Laughlin having and Maintaining aforesaid by Declaring hath alledged which he is
ready to verify which said Matter the sd Laughlin hath not denied nor thereunto in any wise hath
answered but the same there of to admit altogether hath refused prayeth Judgment and his Debt
aforesaid together with his Damages by occasion of the Detention of that Debt unto him to be adjudged &c.

Mr Howard

Whereupon all and Singular the premises being by the Court here Considered and Matured
Deliberation thereupon taken and for that it appeareth to them that the aforesaid plea of the sd Laughlin
in Manner and form aforesaid pleaded is good and sufficient in the Law him the said William his Action
aforesaid against the said Laughlin from having and Maintaining to Bar and Hinder

Therefore it is Considered by the Justices here this thirteenth day of December Annodom
seventeen hundred and twenty two that the sd William Cayland take Nothing by his writ and
Declaration aforesaid but for his false Clamour and that the said
Laughlin go thereof without day And also it is Considered by the Justices here that the said
Laughlin Gwinn recover against the said William Cayland the Sum of three hundred & five
pounds of Tobacco by the said Court here to him of his assent Adjudged for his Costs & Charges by
him about his defence in this behalf laid out and Expended According to the form of the Statute &c.

Jo: Smith Clk

Whereupon Michael Howard Esq. Attorney of the sd William Cayland to have an Appeale from the Judgment
aforesaid to the next provincial Court to be held for the Province of Maryland which is granted and the said
Michael and Mr Gideon Pearce Enter into bond to the said Laughlin Gwinn in behalf of the said William
according to Act of Assembly & which is as followeth viz.

Know all men by these presents that we Michael Howard and Gideon Pearce of Kent County in the Province
of Maryland Gent are holden and firmly bound unto Laughlin Gwin of Kent County in the Province aforesaid
Inn holder in the full and Just Sum three thousand pounds of good Sound Merchantable Leaf Tobacco in
Cask to be paid unto the said Laughlin Gwin his Certain Attorney Exec. Admr or assigns to which Payment
well and truly to be made we bind our Selves and each of us our each of our Heirs Exrs and Admrs Jointly
in the whole and for the whole Severally and Severally firmly by these presents Sealed with our Seals and
dated this thirteenth day of December Anno Dom 1722.

The Condition of the above Obligation is such that whereas the sd Laughlin Gwin hath Obtained Judgment
against William Cayland of Talbot County in the Province aforesaid in Kent County Court as of the first day of
November Court in the year aforesaid for the Sum of three hundred and five pounds of Tobo Costs which from sd
Judgment the said William Cayland hath appealed to the next Prov. Court to be held for the Province
aforesaid Now if the said William Cayland do prosecute his said Appeale with Effect at the next Prov.
Court aforesaid According to the Act of Assembly in such Case made and provided and also pay to the said
Laughlin Gwin his Executors Admrs or assigns in case the said Judgment shall be affirmed as well the
said Sum of three hundred and five pounds of Tobacco as also all Costs & Damages that shall be
awarded by the said provincial Court that then the said Obligation shall be void & otherwise to continue in
full force.

M Howard (Seal)
Gid: Pearce (Seal)

Signed Sealed & Delivered in presence of
Jam: Calder Jo: Smith

a True Copy Jo: Smith Clk Kent County

(Seal of Kent County Court)

And now here at this day to wit the 9th day of April anno Dom: 1723 Comes the said Wm Cayland (into)