Ex Bazill Waring ——— } Mr. The Pltf sued out his Lordships Writ of Attachment this Tenour whereof follows in these Words (Vizt)
vs: Mr John Murdock had Brooks }

Maryland ss.

Charles Absolute Lord & Propry of the Provinces of Maryland & Avalon Lord Baron of Baltemore &c To the Sheriff of Prince Georges County Greeting We Command you that you attach any the Goods Chattells rights or Credits of Thomas Brooks late of Prince Georges County Merchant if they shall be found in your Bailywick to the Value of One hundred & forty one Pounds Nine Shillings & Eleven pence three farthings Sterling & ten thousand Pounds of Tobacco And when you have the same so attached or any part thereof the same in your Custody safe keep so that you have the same before the Justices of our Provincial Court to be held at Annapolis the third Tuesday of October next then & there to be Condemned according to Act of Assembly to & for the use of a Certain Basill Warring Unless he the said Thomas Brooks by himself or his Attorney shall appear & answer unto the said Bazill Warring in a Plea of trespass upon the Case according to Law & that by good & Lawfull Men of his Bailywick you make known unto the Person or Persons in whose hands you make the same Attachment that he she or they be & appear before the Justices of our said Court to be held as afd to shew Cause if any he she or they have why the same Goods Chattells rights or Credits so attached in his her or their hands ought not to be Condemned & Execution thereof had & made according to the Directions of the Act of Assembly in that Case made & provided if to him her or them it shall seem meet & how you shall Execute this Writ that you make known unto our said Justices at the Day & place afd & have you then there this Writ Witness Wm Loth Esqr one of our Justices of our said Court this twenty third Day of May in the 11th Year of our Dominion & Anno Dom 1728 —

Walter Dulton Clk
(Jennings)

At which said third Tuesday of October to wit the 15th Day of the same Month Anno Dom 1728 being the Day of the return of the aforegoing Writ Comes the said Basill Warring by Edmund Jennings his Attorney And the Sheriff of Prince Georges County to whom the same Writ was directed likewise Comes & makes return thereof to the Court here in these Words (Vizt) Prince Geo: County ss October 11th 1728 —

I Certifie to the Honble Justices of his Lordships Provincial Court that the Writ of Attachment hereunto annexed returned as aboves said was delivered to me by my Predecessor Robert Tyler Gent at the time of his Discharge from his late Office of Sheriff & further I Certifye to the Justices afd that by Virtue of the said Writ Delivered to me as afd I have attached of the Rights & Credits of Thomas Brooks in the afd Writ of Attachment mentioned in the hands of John Murdock One hundred forty one Pounds Nine Shillings & Eleven Pence three farthings Sterling & ten thousand Pounds of Tobacco for the use of Basil Warring in the afd Writ of Attachment mentioned & I have made known unto the said John Murdock to be & appear at the Day & place in the afd Writ of Attachment mentioned (Which is hereunto annexed) to shew Cause if any he hath why the Sums of Money & Tobacco so attached in his hands should not be Condemned according to Law to & for the use of the afd Bazill Warring before John Middleton, Jonathan Davis & William Beans good & Lawfull Men of my Bailywick as by the afd Writ of Attachment I am Commanded to answer

Richard Lee Sheriff —

whereupon the said John Murdock had of the said Thomas Brooks by William Cummins his Attorney Comes & prays leave to imparle hereunto untill next Court And he hath it And the same Day is given to the Pltf Also ——

At which said next Court to wit the 20th Day of May Anno (Dom)

Dom 1729 Comes again the said Parties Pltf & Deft by their Attorneys afd And the said Deft by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto untill next Court And the same Day is given to the Pltf Also —

At which said next Court to wit the 21st Day of October Anno Dom 1729 Comes again as well the said Basil Warring by his Attorney afd as the said John Murdock had of the said Thomas Brooks by his Attorney afd And the said John Murdock had as afd by his said Attorney saith that the said Basill Warring Condemnation of the Premises so as afd attached against him the said John ought not to have because he saith that at the time of the laying the Attachment afd in the hands of him the said John he had not nor at any time since hath had any of the Goods Rights or Credits of the said Thomas Brooks in the hands of him the said John And this he is ready to verify wherefore he prays Judgment if the afd Basill Warring Condemnation of the Premises so as afd attached against him to have ought &c —

Yet notwithstanding the said John Murdock had as afd by his Attorney afd relinquishing the Averment afd by him above presented says Nothing against Condemnation for the Sum of fifteen Pounds Sterling part of the Sum so as afd attached & Costs of Suit which the said Pltf does not gainsay —

Therefore it is Considered by the Justices here the 21st Day of October Anno Dom 1729 afd that the said Sum of fifteen Pounds Sterling part of the Sum so as afd attached & the Sum so as afd Confessed be Condemned in the hands of the said John Murdock had as afd as of the Rights & Credits of the said Thomas Brooks towards satisfying unto the said Basil Warring his Damages & Costs in the Judgment afd mentioned And also it is Considered that the said Basill Warring recover against the said John Murdock the Sum of

Pounds of Tobacco by the Court here adjudged unto him for his Costs & Charges accruing by Occasion of the said John Murdocks appearing to the Writ of Attachment in the Plea afd —

Ex Tr: Shadrach Toddeman } Dorchester County ss.
vs } Be it Remembered that heretofore to wit the 15th
Mch. William Taylor — } Day of October Anno Dom 1728 William Taylor late of Dorchester County Gentl was attached to answer unto Shadrach Toddeman of a Plea of trespass upon the Case —

And whereupon the said Shadrach by Edmund Jennings his Attorney Complains that whereas he the said Shadrach is a good faithfull & honest Subject of our the King that now is & as a good faithfull & honest Subject of our said Lord the King & of Divers of his Progenitors late Kings & Queens of England from the time of his Nativity hitherto himself hath behaved & governed & of good Name fame Conversation & behaviour amongst all his Neighbours & other faithfull Subjects of our said Lord the King to whom the said Shadrack was known through all the time afd was held & reputed & no felony or any such Crime hitherto hath Committed or perpetrated but a good & honest way of living hitherto hath had & followed by Profest of which & his honest Labour & Industry the same Shadrack so much profit amongst his Neighbours & other faithfull Subjects of our said Lord the King did obtain & got as did sustain him in a plentifull & handsome Manner Nevertheless the afd William not being ignorant of the Premises but minding & maliciously intending the same Shadrack not only of his good Name fame Credit & Esteem afd to deprive but also him the said Shadrack into Publick Stand all Ignominy & infamy & in Danger of being whipped at the Publick whipping Post & set in & upon the Publick Pillory in the County afd

(to)