being by the Court here soon heard & fully understood & Mature Deliberation thereupon had & his considered by the Justices here the Day & Year last mentioned that the Lord Prop.ty take Nothing by his Writ as so far as relates to the Def. Gilbert Falconar & that the Def. Hugh Mathews go thence without Day &c. And also it is considered by the same Justices here that the Def. Hugh Mathews Recover against the Def. Gilbert Falconar the Sum of

1920 lb Pounds of Tobacco by the Court here adjudged unto him for his Costs and Charges in this behalf laid out & expended According to the form of the Act of Assembly In Such Case made & Provided &c.

We The Lord Prop.ty } Maryland ss. Ann Wright late of Prince Georges
Ann Wright alias White } County Gentlewoman otherwise Called Ann Wright of Prince Georges County Widow was Summoned to Answer unto The Right Honourable the Lord Property of this province of a Plea that She render unto him one thousand pounds Sterling money which she to him the Same Unjustly Detains &c.

And Whereupon Mich. Howard Esq. att. Gen. of the Def. Lord Prop. in this behalf prosecuting Saith that whereas the Def. Ann the Nineteenth Day of September Anno Domini Seventeen hundred and Twenty Nine in Prince Georges County aforesaid by her Writing Obligatory Granted her Self to be held & bound unto the Def. Lord Prop. in the Def. one thousand pounds to be paid to the Def. Lord Prop. when thereunto Required yet the Def. Ann the said One thousand pounds Ster. nor any part thereof at the Day required to the said Lord Prop. hath not Rendered but the Same to him to Render hath hitherto Gainsay & still doth Gainsay to the Damage of the Def. Lord Prop. One hundred pounds Lawful money & therefore this Suit is brought & the said att. Gen. brings here into Court the Writing aforesaid which the Def. aforesaid in form aforesaid Testifies the Date whereof is the Day & Year aforesaid.

William Cummings Quer.

And the Def. Ann by Edm. Jennings her att. Comes & Defends the force & Injury when &c. And Prays a hearing of the Writing Obligatory aforesaid which is read unto her She also Prays a hearing of the Condition of the Writing Obligatory aforesaid which is also read unto her in these Words following Viz.

Which being read & heard the Def. Ann Prays a hearing of the Indorsement of the Writ as is Read in these Words following. Mem. The within Writ is Grounded on John Whites Bond bearing date the 19th September Anno Domini 1729 at request of Will.m Hunt Merchant in London

Test Mich.l Hiselin Clk

Which being read & heard the Def. Ann by her Def. att. Prays Leave to Imparle herein unto next Court & She hath it & the Same Day is given to the Def. Mich. Howard Esq. his Lordships att. Gen. who &c. also a.

At which Def. Next Court to wit the 15 Day of May Anno Domini 1733 Comes again as well the Def. att. Gen. who &c. as the Def. by her att. pro. & the Def. by her Def. attorney Prays further leave to Imparle herein unto next Court & She hath it & the Same Day is given to the Def. att. Gen. who &c. also.

At which Def. Next Court to wit the 16th Day of October Anno Domini 1733 Comes again as well the Def. att. Gen. who &c. as the Def. by her att. pro. & the Def. by her said attorney Prays further Leave to Imparle herein unto next Court & She hath it and the Same Day is given to the Def. att. Gen. who &c. also.

At which Def. Next Court to wit the 21st Day of May Anno Domini 1734 Comes again as well the Def. att. Gen. who &c. as the Def. by her att. pro. & the Def. by her said att. Prays further Leave to Imparle herein unto next Court & She hath it & the Same Day is given to the Def. att. Gen. who &c. also.

At which Def. Next Court to wit the 15th Day of Octo. Anno Domini 1734 Comes again as well the Def. att. Gen. who &c. as the Def. by her att. pro. & the Def. by her said att. Prays further leave to Imparle herein unto next Court & She hath it & the Same Day is given to the Def. att. Gen. who &c. also.

At which Def. Next Court to wit the 8th Day of July Anno Domini 1735 Comes again the as well the Def. att. Gen. who &c. as the Def. by her att. pro. & the Def. by her said att. Prays further leave to Imparle herein unto next Court & She hath it & the Same Day is Given to the Def. att. Gen. who &c. also.

At which Def. Next Court to wit the 21 Day of Octo. Anno Dom. 1735 Comes again as well the Def. Mich. Howard Esq. his Lordships att. Gen. as the Def. Ann White by her att. pro. & the Def. Ann White by her Def. att. Comes as before & Defends the force & Injury when &c. And Saith that the said att. Gen. on behalf of the Lord Prop. his action aforesaid against her to have & Maintain Ought not Because She Saith that by an Act Intituled an Act to Restrain the Rigour of Prosecutions on Administration or Testamentary Bonds made at a session of Assembly began in the ~~Year~~ & held at the City of Annapolis the Eleventh Day of Octo. in the Year of our Lord Seventeen hundred & twenty It was Enacted that it Should not be Lawfull for any Creditor

(or)