The Condition of the above Obligation is such that if the above bound Thomas Shorsdine shall & do prosecute with effect his Lordships Writ of Injunction for relief in Equity against the said William Hunt & to stay Proceedings at Law in an Action of Debt brought by the said William Hunt against the said Thomas Shorsdine wherupon Judgment was obtained by Default at last October Provincial Court, shall satisfye & pay unto the said Wm Hunt the Debt aforesd & all Costs & Damages already due or shall or may arise by means of the suing out such Writ of Injunction delaying or staying Execution on the said Judgment or otherwise thereon if the said Thomas Shorsdine shall not obtain a Decree in the Chancery Court to the Contrary & in Case the said Thomas Shorsdine shall in all things relating to the Premisses stand to & abide the Award Sentence Order & Decree of the said Court of Chancery then this Obligation to be Void & of none effect otherwise to stand & be in full force power & Virtue which being read & heard the same Richard Gist by his said Attorney prays leave to imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the pltff also.

At which said next Court to wit the 19th Day of October Anno Dom 1736 Comes again the said parties pltff & Defendt by their Attorneys aforesd And the said Defendt by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the pltff also.

At which said next Court to wit the 17th Day of May Anno Dom 1737 Comes again the said parties pltff & Defendt by their Attorneys aforesd And the said Defendt by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the pltff also.

At which said next Court to wit the 18th Day of October Anno Dom 1737 Comes again the said parties pltff & Defendt by their Attorneys aforesd And the said Defendt by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the pltff also.

At which said next Court to wit the 16th Day of May Anno Dom 1738 Comes again as well the said William Hunt by his Attorney aforesd as the said Richard Gist by his Attorney aforesd And the said Richard Gist by his said Atty as before defends the force & Injury when & wrongs says that the aforesd William Hunt his Action aforesd against him to have ought not because he says that the said Thomas Shorsdine did prosecute his Writ of Injunction in the Condition aforesd mentioned & that altho the said Injunction was dissolved & the bill preferred by the said Thomas against the said William was dismissed with Costs yet the said Richard says that the Cause of the Action of Debt wherein that Judgment in the aforesd Condition mentioned was obtained by Default by the said William Hunt against the said Thomas Shorsdine & for the stay of the proceedings wherein at Law the Writing Obligatory in the Declaration mentioned was Executed by the said Thomas Shorsdine was on a bond bearing Date the twentyeth Day of February Anno Dom Seventeen hundred & Twenty eight Entered into by the aforesaid Thomas Shorsdine to the aforesd William Hunt & the Penal Sum of One thousand pounds Sterling to which Bond there is a Condition annexed to the following effect (Viz) (That)

that Whereas the above named William Hunt in the Name of himself & Company but upon his own proper Account & risque Consigned several Cargoes of European Goods to the above bounden Thomas Shorsdine in the Years Seventeen hundred & Eighteen, Seventeen hundred & Nineteen, Seventeen hundred & Twenty & Seventeen hundred & Twenty one amounting after all Deductions of Charges & for Goods wanting to the Value of Nineteen hundred & Twenty Eight pounds Eight Shillings & two pence Sterling & some Rum from the West Indies to be disposed of by the said Thomas Shorsdine as the Factor of the said William Hunt to his best Advantage

The Condition of that Obligation was such that if the said Thomas Shorsdine should well & truly satisfye & pay unto the said William Hunt his Certain Attorney Executors Administrators or Assignes what should really appear to be due and owing from him the said Thomas to the said William for or upon the Account of the said Cargoes & Rum Then the said last mentioned Obligation was to be Void & of none Effect Else to be in full force & Virtue as by the Record thereof & the Proceedings thereon here in this Court may appear And the said Richard Gist saith that after the Entering the bond mentioned in the said Declaration as aforesd & after the aforesd Injunction in his Lordships High Court of Chancery was dissolved & the Bill aforesd Dismissed with Cost of Suit & before the Day of the impetration of the Originall Writ aforesd of him the aforesd William Hunt against him the said Richard Gist the aforesd Thomas Shorsdine on the Twenty fourth day of April Anno Dom Seventeen hundred & thirty five did well & truly satisfye & pay unto the Attorney of the aforesd William Hunt all that did really appear to be due & owing from him the said Thomas to the said William for & upon the Account of the said Cargoes & Rum mentioned in the aforesd Condition & also did satisfye & pay unto the said Attorney of the said William all & every part of the Debt that was justly & bona fide Due by Virtue of the said Bond mentioned in the Declaration aforesd & also all Costs & Damages that had any ways arrued before the suing the aforesd Writ of Injunction & also that have since arrued by means of the suing the aforesd Writ of Injunction delaying or staying Execution on the said Judgment or otherwise thereon & likewise the said Thomas Shorsdine did in all things relating to the Premisses aforesd stand to the Award Sentence & Decree of the said Court of Chancery to wit at Baltimore County aforesd & this he is ready to verify wherefore he prays Judgment if the said William Hunt his Action aforesd against him the said Richard to have or maintain ought &c

And the said William Hunt saith that he by anything above alleged from having & maintaining his Action aforesd ought not to be precluded because he saith that at a Provincial Court held at Annapolis the third Tuesday of October in the Year of our Lord Seventeen hundred & thirty one before Mathew Tilghman Ward Esqr & his Associates Justices of the said Court he the said William by Consideration of the same Court recovered against the said Thomas Shorsdine One thousand pounds Sterling a Certain Debt & Eight hundred & forty one pounds of Tobacco Damages sustained by Occasion of the Detention of that Debt And the said William Hunt further saith that the said Thomas Shorsdine after the rendering the (Judgment)