Judgment aforesaid to wit the fourth Day of February in the year of our Lord Seven-
teen hundred & thirty one at Annapolis aforesaid in Order to stay Execution on the
said Judgment obtained the Writ of Injunction of the said Lord Keeper to stay
Execution as aforesaid on the same fourth Day of February at Annapolis aforesaid entered
into the said Writing Obligatory for One thousand Pounds Sterling to the said
William Hunt with Condition that the said Thomas Sheredine should
prosecute with Effect his Lordships Writ of Injunction for relief in Equity —
against the said William Hunt & to stay proceedings at Law in an Action of
Debt brought by the said William Hunt against the said Thomas Sheredine
wherein Judgment was obtained against the said Thomas Sheredine & that the
said Thomas Sheredine should satisfye & pay unto the said William Hunt the
Debt aforesaid & all Costs & Damages then already due or that should or might accrue
due by means of Suing out the said Writ of Injunction delaying or staying —
Execution on the said Judgment or otherwise if the said Thomas Sheredine —
should not obtain a Decree of the said Court of Chancery to the Contrary And
the said William Hunt further saith that at a Chancery Court held at Anna-
polis the Second Tuesday of October in the year of our Lord Seventeen hundred
and thirty four before his Excellency Samuel Ogle Esqr Chancellor of Maryland —
the Injunction aforesaid by the said Thomas Sheredine in form aforesaid obtained was —
Dissolved & afterwards to wit the fourth Tuesday in May in the year of our Lord
Seventeen hundred & thirty five at a Chancery Court then held at Annapolis
aforesaid the Bill of the said Thomas Sheredine against the said William Hunt
was struck off & dismissed & no further prosecuted & that the said Thomas Sheredine
did not obtain any Decree of the said Court that the the said Thomas should not
pay the Debt aforesaid & the Costs & Damages aforesaid And the said William Hunt further
saith that all the well & true it is that he the said Thomas Sheredine after the
Dissolution of the said Injunction paid unto the said William the Debt by the —
said William Hunt recovered against the said Thomas as well in the Prov^l
Court aforesaid as in the Chancery Court & also Seven hundred Pounds Sterling —
parcell of the said One thousand Pounds Sterling the Debt aforesaid by the said
William Recovered against the said Thomas in form aforesaid yet the said Wm
Hunt saith that he the said Thomas all the often required the remaining
three hundred Pounds residue of the said One thousand Pounds to the said
William hath not paid but the same to pay hath hitherto refused & gain-
sayed & still doth refuse & gainsay And this he is ready to verify & therefore
prays Judgment for his Debt aforesaid together with his Damages sustained by —
Occasion of the Detention of that Debt to be to him adjudged.

And the aforesaid Richard Gist as before saith that the said Thomas Shere-
dine after the Entering the bond mentioned in the said Declaration as
aforesaid & after the aforesaid Injunction was dissolved & the bill aforesaid dismissed with Costs
of Suit & before the Day of the Impetration of the Original Writ aforesaid of him
the said William Hunt against the said Richard Gist did well & truly
satisfye & pay (to wit) on the Day & year in his aforesaid plea mentioned —
in Baltimore County unto the Attorney of the aforesaid William Hunt all that
did really appear to be due & Owing from the said Thomas Sheredine to

(the)

to the said William Hunt for and upon the said Cargoes mentioned in the aforesaid Condition —
now remaining of Record in this Court And did also then & there satisfye & pay unto
the said William Hunt all & every part of the Debt that was justly & bona fide due by
Virtue of the bond mentioned in the Declaration aforesaid And also all Costs and Damages
that had any ways arrued before the Suing the aforesaid Writ of Injunction & also that
have since by means of the Suing the aforesaid Writ of Injunction Delaying or
staying Execution of the said Judgment or otherwise thereon and likewise did in all —
things relating to the Premises aforesaid to the inward Sentence & Decree of the said
Court of Chancery But the said Richard further saith that all the time it is that the
said Thomas Sheredine hath not paid to the said William Hunt the said three hundred
pounds Sterling mentioned in the Replication aforesaid to be the residue of the aforesaid One —
thousand Pounds Debt recovered by the said William against the said Thomas as afore-
said the said Richard saith that the said three hundred Pounds Sterling or any part thereof
was not nor is justly and bona fide Due to the said William by Virtue of the Writing —
Obligatory in the said Declaration mentioned or by Virtue of the Condition annexed
to the Writing Obligatory aforesaid on which the said Judgment and Debt of one thousand
pounds was had & recovered as aforesaid And this he is ready to verify & therefore he prays
Judgment as before that the said William from having his Action aforesaid may be —
precluded &c.

And the said William Hunt saith that the plea aforesaid of the said Richard Gist by
Rejoynder above pleaded and the matter therein contained are insufficient in
Law to compell him to answer thereto And that he to that plea hath no need
nor by the Law of the Land is held to answer And therefore for want of a sufficient
Rejoynder in this part the said William prays Judgment for his Debt aforesaid together —
with his Damages sustained by Occasion of the Detention of that Debt to him to be
adjudged.

And the aforesaid Richard Gist saith that the plea aforesaid by him the said Richard in
manner & form aforesaid by rejoyning above pleaded and the matter in the same —
contained are good & sufficient in Law to have precluded him the said William Hunt
from his Action aforesaid thereof against him the said Richard which said plea & the —
matter in the same contained he the same Richard is ready to aver & prove & And
because the aforesaid William Hunt hath not answered to that plea nor the same hitherto
he hath any way denied the same Richard as before prays Judgment and that the
aforesaid William Hunt may be precluded from having his Action aforesaid against him the
said Richard.

Whereupon all & singular the premises being by the Court here seen heard
fully understood & mature deliberation thereupon had for that it seemed to the
Court here that the Replication aforesaid of him the said William Hunt & the Matter
in the same contained are good & sufficient in Law for him the said William Hunt
to have & maintain his Action aforesaid against him the said Richard Gist.

Therefore it is considered by the Justices here the 16th Day of May Anno —
Domini 1738 aforesaid that the said William Hunt recover against the said Richard Gist
the full and just Sum of One thousand pounds Sterling Money his Debt aforesaid and also
the Sum of

045 Pounds of Tobacco for his Damages which he hath sustained as well

(on)