The Lord Propry. a. Bartholomew Petit £20 — The said Bartholomew Petit being Bound by Recognizance in the Sum of Twenty Pounds Current Money for a certain William Hatfeys appearance here this Court to give Evidence on behalf of his Lordship against William Perkins and not to depart the same Court without Licence thereof And the said William Hatfey being called upon appears. Thereupon the said Barth. Petit from his Recognizance aforesd is discharged. And it is Ordered that the said William Hatfey give Security in the Sum of Twenty Pounds Current Money for his appearance at the next County Court to be held for Anne Arundel County there & there to give Evidence on behalf of his Lordship against the said William Perkins and not to depart the same Court without Licence thereof. Thereupon the said Bartholomew Petit present here in Court in his proper Person acknowledges himself to owe and stand justly Indebted unto his Lordship the Right Honble the Lord Propry. in the Sum of Twenty Pounds Current Money to be levied of his Goods and Chattels Lands and Tenements to the Use of his said Lordp his Heirs & Successors In Case the said William Hatfey shall not make his Personal Appearance at the next County Court to be held for Anne Arundel County at the City of Annapls on the Second Tuesday of November next and then & there give Evidence on behalf of his Lordship agt. the said William Perkins & shall depart the same Court without Licence thereof

The Lord Proprietary against John Davidge £25. Samuel Beedle 25. — The said John Davidge and Samuel Beedle being Bound by Recognizance in the Sum of Twenty five Pounds Current Money each for their several Appearance here this Court as Witnesses for his Lordship against John McCarmick and Nicholas Kerough & not to depart the same Court without Licence thereof And the said John Davidge & Samuel Beedle being called upon Severally appear Thereupon they from their Recognizances aforesd Severally are discharged

The Lord Propry. James Porter £50 — The said James Porter being Bound by Recognizance in the Sum of fifty Pounds Current Money for certain John McCarmick and Nicho Kerough's appearance here this Court to answer unto such things as should be Objected against them on behalf of his Lordship in the mean time to keep the Peace and be of good behaviour and not to depart the same Court without Licence thereof And the said John McCarmick and Nicholas Kerough being called upon appear Thereupon the said James Porter from his Recognizance aforesd is discharged

The Lord Propry. a. Richard Dallam £20 Michael Gilbert 20 — The said Richard Dallam & Michael Gilbert being severally Bound by Recognizance in the Sum of Twenty Pounds Current Money each for their Appearance here this Court as Witnesses for his Lordship against Christopher Bonfield and not to depart the same Court without Licence thereof And the said Richard Dallam and Michael Gilbert being called upon Severally appear Thereupon they from their Recognizances aforesd severally are discharged

Thomas Leedsom is committed to the Custody of the Shrff of Annapls County to be by him delivered to the Shrff of Frederick County to answer unto such things as shall be Objected agt him there to remain untill &c.

Wd. The Lord Propry a Bartholomew Ennalls — There having a Bench Warrant Issued last Court returnable this Court agt the said Bartholomew Ennales (Inhr of Dorchester County) to show Cause why he did not Execute a Warrant to him directed from a proper Magistrate for the receiving of sundry Felons in Dover Gaol on Dellaware, and the Coroners of Dorchester County to whom the same Warrant was directed makes return thereof to the Court here thus Endorsed to wit Sept Whereupon the said Barth. Ennalls appears. and the Allegations as well of Henry Darnall Esqr Attorney General of the Ds. Lord. Propry as of the said Barth. Ennalls being by the Court here seen heard fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had It is Ordered that the said Bartholomew Ennalls be discharged

WD. The Lord Propry a. William Moore — Maryland to wit. The Jurors for the Right Honourable the Lord Proprietary that now is upon their Oath do present that a certain William Moore late of Frederick County Labourer the Tenth day of August in the Year of our Lord Seventeen hundred and fifty one at Frederick County with Force and Arms One Sorrel Gelding of the Price of five Pounds Sterling of the Goods and Chattels of a certain William Marshall then and there to wit at the County aforesaid found then and there feloniously Stole took and led away against the Peace of the said Lord Proprietary his good rule and Government and contrary to the form of the Act of Assembly in such Cases made and provided H Darnall

Witnesses, William Marshall, Richd. Hollinberry, John Willcoxon } Just. Test. R Burgess Clk

On the Back of the aforegoing Bill of Indictment was thus Endorsed Vizt.

A True Bill V. Denton Foreman

And the said William Moore being called upon appears (under the Custody of the Shrff of Anne Arundel County to whose Custody he was heretofore committed for the Crime aforesaid) and being demanded how he of the Indictment aforesd and Premises above on him Imposed will Acquit himself saith that he is in nowise thereof Guilty and for Tryal thereof he puts himself upon God and the Country and Henry Darnall Esqr Attorney General of the said Lord Propry who for the said Lord Propry. in this behalf Prosecuteth prays that it may be enquired of by the Country in like manner

Whereupon for Trying the Issue aforesd Command is given to the Shrff of Annarundel County that he immediately cause to come here Twelve good and lawfull Men of his Bailiwick by whom &c. who neither &c. to Recognize &c. because as well &c. of which said Precept the said Shrff to wit John Gassaway Esqr makes return that he has here ready Twelve &c. as by his said Precept he was commanded to wit John Gould, William Dashiels Thomas Ringgold, John Stiles, Rouse Tapit Thomas Noble, Samuel Marshall, James Emory, Wm Cannon, Robert Nairne, William Jones & Benjamin Ot who being duly Elected Tryed and Sworn to say the Truth in the Premises upon their Oath do say That the said William Moore is not Guilty of the Premises in the Indictment aforesd above on him Imposed

Therefore it is Considered by the Justices here that the said William Moore of whom the Indictment & Premises aforesd be altogether Acquit &c. that he go thence of without Day &c.

Test. R Burgess Clk