At which said next Court to wit the 17th day of September Anno Domi: 1753 come again the said Parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys afs: and the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further leave to Imparle documents until next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the Plaintiffs also

At which said next Court to wit the 21st day of May Anno Domi: 1754 come again the said Parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys afs: and the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further leave to Imparle documents until next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the Plaintiffs also

At which said next Court to wit the 15th day of October Anno Domi: 1754 come again as well the said Wm: Forrer, Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson by their Attorneys afs: as the said Nathaniel Wickham Junr: by his Attorney afs: And the said Nathaniel Wickham Junr: by his said Attorney as before defends the force and injury when &c: And saith that he cannot Deny the Action afs: of the said William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson as afs: against him brought nor but that he Owes to the same William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson the afs: Sum of One Hundred and thirty Pounds eight shillings & eight pence Sterling Money

Therefore it is considered by the Justices here That the said William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson Recover against the said Nathaniel Wickham Junr: as well the Sum of One Hundred and thirty Pounds eight shillings and eight Pence Sterling Money a certain Debt as also the Sum of

£90/4/3d Pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto them on their Agreed Adjudged as well for their Damages sustained by means of Detaining that Debt as for their Costs and Charges by them about their Suit in this behalf laid out and expended and the said Defendant in Mercy &c.

Test B Burgess Clk

(2d) William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson Assignees of John Chilpatt & John Hutchinson late of London Merchants Bankrupts ... Plaintiffs

vs

(Hd) Kennedy Tassell ... Defendant

Command was given to the Sheriff of Frederick County that he should take Kennedy Tassell late of Frederick County Innholder otherwise called Kennedy Tassell of Frederick County Gentleman if he should be found in his Bailiwick and him safely so that he might have his Body before his Lordships Justices of the Provincial Court to be held at Annapolis the second Tuesday of April then next to Answer unto William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson Assignees of the Debts Goods and Chattels of John Chilpatt and John Hutchinson late of London Merchants Bankrupts according to the form of the Statute made and provided concerning Bankrupts of a plea that he render unto them One Hundred and thirty Pounds eight shillings and eight Pence Sterling Money of Great Britain which to them he Owes and unjustly detains &c and that thereof he the said Sheriff should not fail &c At which said second Tuesday of April to wit the 10th day of the same Month Anno Domi: 1753 being the Day of the return of the Mandamus that came the said William Forrer, Bartholomew Pomeroy & Joseph Jenson by Daniel Dulany their Attorney and the Sheriff of Frederick County to whom the same Writ was directed likewise comes & makes return thereof to the Court here thus Endorsed viz: Exec: Jacob Rozell Sheriff

And the said Kennedy Tassell being called upon appears Thereupon at the Prayer of the Plaintiffs by their Attorney afs: the said Kennedy Tassell is adjudged to give special Bail to the Action afs: Thereupon Joseph Thompson of Frederick County present here in Court undertakes for the said Kennedy Tassell that in case the said William Forrer, Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson shall Recover Judgment against the said Kennedy Tassell in the Action afs: or that the said Kennedy Tassell shall do them legally forbear that then the said Kennedy Tassell shall pay the Condemnation of the Court thereupon or render his Body into the Custody of the Sheriff of Frederick County in full satisfaction thereof or that he the said Joseph Thompson will do the same for him

And the said Kennedy Tassell by Henry Darnall his Attorney comes and defends the force & injury when &c and prays leave to imparle documents until next Court & he hath it & the same Day is given to the Plaintiffs also At which said next Court to wit the 17th Day of September Anno Domi: 1753 come again the said Parties Plaintiff and Defendt by their Attorneys afs: and the said Defendant

(by)

by his said Attorney prays further leave to Imparle documents until next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the Plaintiffs also At which said next Court to wit the 21st day of May Anno Domi: 1754 come again the said Parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys afs: and the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further leave to Imparle documents until next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the Plaintiff also At which said next Court to wit the 15th day of October Anno Domi: 1754 comes again as well the said William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson by their Attorneys afs: as the said Kennedy Tassell by his Attorney afs: and the said Kennedy Tassell by his said Attorney as before Defends the force and injury when &c: And saith that he cannot Deny the Action afs: of the said William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson as afs: against him brought nor but that he Owes to the same William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson the afs: Sum of One Hundred & thirty Pounds eight Shillings & eight Pence Sterling Money

Therefore it is considered by the Justices here That the said William Forrer Bartholomew Pomeroy and Joseph Jenson Recover against the said Kennedy Tassell as well the Sum of One Hundred and thirty Pounds eight shillings and eight Pence Sterling Money a certain Debt as also the Sum of

£90/4/3d Pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto them on their Agreed Adjudged as well for their Damages sustained by means of Detaining that Debt as for their Costs & Charges by them about their Suit in this behalf laid out and expended and the said Defendant in Mercy &c.

Test B Burgess Clk

(He) Mark Matthews Mankin

vs

(H) John Mannemore ... Maryland to wit John Mannemore late of Charles County Labourer was attached to Answer unto Mark Matthews Mankin of a plea wherefore with force and Arms &c he the said John upon him the said Mark Matthews Mankin at Charles County afs: on A Day & Year aforesaid did make & him the said Mark Matthews Mankin did beat Wound and wilfully treat so that of his life it was greatly despaired and other Harms to him did to the great Damage of him the said Mark Matthews Mankin and against the Peace &c And Whereupon the same Mark Matthews Mankin by Jeremiah Chase his Attorney Complains that the said John on the Day of in the Year of our Lord One Thousand seven hundred & fifty with force & Arms and so forth to wit with Sticks Clubs Cudgels Whips and Fists upon him the said Mark Matthews at Charles County afs: on A Day & Year aforesaid did make & him the said Mark Matthews did then and there beat Wound & wilfully treat so that of his life it was greatly despaired of & other Injuries to him the said Mark Matthews then and there did do to the great Damage of him the said Mark Matthews and against the Peace of the Right Honorable the Lord Propietary that now is and so forth whereupon the said Mark Matthews saith he is the worse and hath Damage to the value of One Hundred Pounds Current Money and therefore he brings suit and so forth

Pledges &c { John Doe, Richd Roe

Note The above Action is entered here thro a mistake for by the docket & for Back nothing was done in it this Court

(Ha) The Lord Propry

vs

(Dd H) William Lyson & Wife ... Maryland to wit William Lyson late of Charles County Planter and Elizabeth his Wife otherwise lately called Elizabeth Hudson of Charles County were summoned to Answer unto the Right Honorable the Lord Propietary of a plea that they render unto him the full and just Sum of four Hundred Pounds Sterling Money of Great Britain which to him they Owe and unjustly detain &c And Whereupon Thomas Jenvall Esqr his Lordships Attorney General of the Province afs: who for the said Lord Propietary in this behalf prosecutes saith that whereas the said Elizabeth while she was sole on the twentieth Day of October in the Year of our Lord One Thousand seven hundred & fifty at Charles County afs: by her certain writing Obligatory sealed with the seal of the said Elizabeth whose into Court brought whose Date is the Day & Year aforesaid acknowledged to be held & firmly bound unto the said Lord Propietary in the said Sum of four hundred Pounds Sterling Money of Great Britain to be paid to the said Lord Propietary when thereunto

(she)