She should be requested Nevertheless the said Elizabeth while sole and the said William and Elizabeth his Wife since their Coverture altogether often requested the said sum of four hundred Pounds Sterling Money aforesaid to the same Lord Proprietary have not nor hath either of them ended but the same to render altogether refused & still do refuse whereupon he saith he is the worse and hath Damage to the Value of Eight Hundred Pounds Sterling Money aforesaid and this for the said Lord Proprietary the said Attorney General is ready to Verify & therefore suit is brought and so forth Pledges &c. { John Doe Richard Roe

And the said William Lyson and Elizabeth his Wife by Daniel Dulany their Attorney come & defend the force and injury when &c and pray leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court & they have it & the same Day is given to Henry Darnall Esqr his Lordships Attorney General wherever &c also

At which said next Court to wit the 10th day of April Anno Dom: 1753 come again the said Henry Darnall Esqr his Lordships Attorney General wherever &c as the said William Lyson & Wife by their Attorney aforesaid and the said William Lyson and Wife by their said Attorney pray further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court & they have it and the same Day is given to Henry Darnall Esqr his Lordships Attorney General wherever &c also At which said next Court to wit the 11th day of September Anno Dom: 1753 come again Henry Darnall wherever &c as the said William Lyson & Wife by their Attorney aforesaid and the said William Lyson & Wife by their said Attorney pray further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court & they have it and the same Day is given to Henry Darnall wherever &c also

At which said next Court to wit the 21st Day of May Anno Dom: 1754 comes again the said Henry Darnall wherever &c as the said William Lyson & Wife by their Attorney aforesaid. And the said Wm Lyson and Wife by their said Attorney pray further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court & they have it & the same Day is given to Henry Darnall wherever &c also At which said next Court to wit the 15th Day of October Anno Dom: 1754 come again as well the said Henry Darnall Esqr Attorney General wherever &c as the said William Lyson and Wife by their Attorney aforesaid And the said William Lyson and Wife by their said Attorney as before defends the force & injury when &c And offer themselves ready against the aforesaid Henry Darnall Esqr wherever &c But the said Henry Darnall Esqr Attorney General of the said Lord Proprietary altho' solemnly called comes not but makes Default nor does he further Prosecute the Writ of the said Lord Proprietary against the aforesaid William Lyson and Wife in the Plea aforesaid Therefore it is considered by the Justices here that the said Lord Proprietary take nothing by his Writ aforesaid against the aforesaid William Lyson & Wife in the Plea aforesaid But that the said William Lyson and Wife go thereof without Day &c And it is also considered that the said William Lyson & Wife Recover against Elizabeth Hudson (at whose request this suit was brought) the Sum of

Pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto them on their Agreement adjudged for their Costs & Charges by them about their Defence in this behalf laid out and expended & that they have thereof their Execution &c. Nisi Facias 3 Weeks Test B. Burgess Clk

Sabrit Sellers Lessee } Maryland Baltimore County ss: Be it Remembered that heretofore to wit the
Josias Bowen . . . . } 19th day of September Anno Dom: 1752 Josias Bowen late of Baltimore County was attached to answer unto William Thewstout of a plea wherefore with force & Arms &c into all that Tract or Parcel of Plantable Land called Gunnerth Rennings lying & being in Baltimore County aforesaid with the Appurtenances containing two Hundred & Eighty Acres of Land which Sabrit Sellers to him the said William Demised for a Term not yet past to the said Josias did enter & him the said William from his Term aforesaid did Eject and other Harms to him the said William there and there did to the great Damage of him the said William and against the Peace of the Right Honorable the Lord Propry that now is &c And Whereupon the said William by Edward Dorsey his Attorney complains that Whereas the aforesaid Sabrit Sellers on the first Day of June in the Year of our Lord seventeen hundred & fifty one at Baltimore County aforesaid had Demised unto him the aforesaid William the said Premises with the Appurtenances thereunto belonging To have and Occupy the same Premises with the Appurtenances to him the

(said)

said William and his Assigns from the last Day of May then last past until the full end and Term of Twenty years then next following fully to be Compleat and ended By virtue of which Demise the aforesaid William into the Premises with the Appurtenances did enter and was thereof Possessed And the said William so being thereof Possessed the aforesaid Josias afterwards to wit on the same first Day of June in the Year seventeen hundred and fifty one aforesaid at Baltimore County aforesaid with force and Arms and so forth into the Premises with the Appurtenances aforesaid which the said William in form aforesaid had demised for the Term aforesaid which is not yet past entered & him the said William from his Term aforesaid did Eject and other Harms to him the said William then & there did to the great Damage of him the said William and against the Peace of the Right Honorable the Lord Propry that now is &c Whereby the said William saith he is the worse and hath Damage to the Value of One Hundred Pounds Current Money and therefore he brings suit &c Pledges &c { John Doe Richard Roe

And the aforesaid Josias Bowen by Stephen Bordley his Attorney comes and Defends the force and injury when &c And takes Defence according to his Extensions as they shall appear to be laid down on the return of a Warrant of Resurvey to be Issued in this Cause and enters into the common Rule and prays leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it and the same Day is given to the Plaintiff also

At which said next Court to wit the 10th day of April Anno Dom: 1753 come again the said Parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further leave to Imparle hereunto until next Court & he hath it and the same Day is given to the Plaintiff also

At which said next Court to wit the 11th day of September Anno Dom: 1753 come again as well the said William Thewstout Lessee of the said Sabrit Sellers by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Josias Bowen by his Attorney aforesaid And the said Josias Bowen by his said Attorney as before defends the force and injury when &c And saith that he is not Guilty of the Trespass and Ejectment aforesaid in manner and form as the aforesaid William Thewstout Lessee of the said Sabrit Sellers above against him Complains and of this he puts himself upon the Country & the Plaintiff also Whereupon for Trying the Issue aforesaid Command is given to the Sheriff of Baltimore County that he cause to come before the Justices of the Provincial Court at Annapolis the third Tuesday of May next or before the Justices appointed to take the Assizes in his County if they shall before that time to wit on Tuesday the second Day of April next to the County Court House in the said County come Twelve Good & Lawful Men of his Bailiwick by whom &c who neither &c To Recognize &c Because as well &c

Afterwards the Process continued between the Parties aforesaid in the Plea aforesaid by the Jury being put thereon in Respite here until the third Tuesday of May next unless the Justices of his Lordship the Lord Propry to take the Assizes in the said County Assigned on Tuesday the second Day of April next to the County Court House in the said County shall come

Afterwards to wit at the Day and Place last above mentioned before the Honble John Brice and John Leeds Esqrs two of his Lordships Justices of the Provincial Court Assigned to take the Assize in Baltimore County according to the Form of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided comes again as well the said William Thewstout Lessee of the said Sabrit Sellers by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Josias Bowen by his Attorney aforesaid And the Jurors of that Jury whereof mention is before made being called likewise come to wit John Hall (Spesutie) Aquilla Hall, John Hammond Dorsey, Samuel Howell, Edward Norris, Thomas Richardson William Savory, William Osborn, John Gill, John Day, Thomas Wellham and George Chaney who being duly Elected Tried & sworn to say the truth in the Premises upon their Oath do say that they find the Patent from the Lord Propry to the Lessee of the Plaintiff and that all the Recitals in the Patent are true which said Patent follows in these words viz: Maryland ss: Cecilius Absolute Lord & Proprietary of the Province of Maryland and Avalon Lord Baron of Baltimore &c To all Person to whom these Presents shall come Greeting in our Lord God Everlasting Know ye that Whereas Sabrit Sellers of Baltimore County by his Humble Petition to our Agent for management of Land affairs within this Province did set forth that he with a certain Sarah Bowen by their Petition did humbly set forth that there was Enclosed

(to)