Bordley their Attorney come & say that in the Records & Process aforesaid & also in the rendering of the Judgment aforesaid it is manifestly erred in this to wit That the Judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid rendered was rendered for the aff. Richard Norton & Unity his Wife Administratrix aforesaid against them the said Hanse Rudolph & Ann his Wife Administratrix aff. when by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been rendered for the aff. Hanse Rudolph & Ann his Wife Administratrix aff. against them the said Richard Norton & Unity his Wife Administratrix aforesaid & so forth wherefore in that it is manifestly Erred & they pray that the Judgment aforesaid for the Errors aff. and others in the Records & Process aff. appearing may be revoked annulled & interely held for naught & that they the said Hanse Rudolph & Ann his Wife Administratrix aforesaid to all things which they by Occasion of the Judgment aforesaid lost may be restored & so forth. And the said Richard Norton & Unity his Wife Administratrix as aff. by their Attorney aff. say that in the records & process aff. in in the rendering the Judgment aff. is in nothing Erred & pray the Court of the Lord Proprietary now here may proceed to the Examination as well of the Record & Process aff. as the matters aff. for Error assigned & that the Judgment aff. may be in all things affirmed & so forth.

Whereupon for that the Court here are not advised of their Judgment of & upon the premises aforesaid Day thereof is given to the said parties to have therefore their Judgment until next Court for that the said Court here as yet are not &c. At which said next Court to wit the Eighteenth Day of May 1756 comes again the said parties Plaintiffs & Defendants by their Attorneys aforesaid But for that the Court here are not as yet advised of their Judgment of & upon the premises aforesaid Day therefore is further given to the said parties to hear thereof their Judgment until next Court for that the said Court here as yet are not &c. At which said next Court to wit the Nineteenth Day of October Anno Domini 1756 come again as well the said Hanse Rudolph & Ann his Wife Administratrix as aff. by their Attorney aff. as the said Rich. Norton & Unity his Wife Administratrix as aff. by their Attorney aff. Whereupon all & singularly the Premises being by the Court here seen heard fully Understood & Matter Deliberated thereupon

(had)

Had for that it seems to the Court here that in the Record & Process aforesaid And also in Rendering the Judgment thereon it is nothing Erred. It is therefore Considered by the Justices here that the Judgment aff. in all things be affirmed & stand in its full force and Effect. And further it is Considered that the said Richard Norton and Unity his Wife Administratrix as aff. recover against the said Hanse Rudolph & Ann his Wife Administratrix as aff. the Sum of Pounds of Tobacco to the same Richard Norton & Unity his Wife Administratrix as aff. by the Court here Adjudged according to the form of the Statue thereof Lately Published and Provided for his Costs Charges & Damages which he has Sustained by Occasion of the Delay of the Execution aforesaid by pretext of the Prosecution of the said Appeal To be Levyed of the Goods & Chattles which were of the said John Hankey at the time of his Death in the Hands of the said Hanse Rudolph & Ann his Wife Administratrix as aforesaid remaining to be Administered if so much thereof in their Hands they have &c and if so much thereof in their hands they have not &c then the Cost of Suit aforesaid to be Levyed of the proper Goods & Chattles of the said Hanse Rudolph & Ann his Wife & that thereof they have Execution & so forth Test William Hugh Clk

---

James Tilghman against John Fisher } Memorandum, (The Plaintiff sue out his Lordships writ of Seisin (The Tenor whereof follows in these words to wit

Maryland ss. (Frederick absolute Lord and Proprietary of the Provinces of Maryland and Avalon Lord Baron of Baltimore &c. To the Sheriff of Queen Ann Greeting Know ye that James Tilghman of Talbot County Gentleman hath recovered against John Fisher of Queen Anne County Planter his Seisin of all that Moiety or half part of a Tract of Plantable Land lying in Queen Annes County aforesaid on the Branches of Tuckahoe Creek called & known by the name of Suffolk which Moiety Lyeth to the North North West of an East North East Line drawn from the second Line of the same Tract of Land through the same Land so as to divide the same into two equal parts the said Moiety containing three hundred Acres with the Appurtenances by our Writ of Entry sur Disseisin in Lieu. And therefore We command you that without delay you deliver unto the said James Tilghman full Seisin

(of