where Instance the Writ aforesaid of the Said Lord Proprietary in this Cause issued to wit at Dorchester County aforesaid) as by the said Writs of Capias ad Satisfaciendum and the returns thereof by the Said Ennalls Hooper as Sheriff of Dorchester County aforesaid respectively may appear — Nevertheless the aforesaid Ennalls Hooper the aforesaid Several Sums of Money and Tobacco or any part of either of them to them the Said Anthony Bacon and Henry Lowes (altho often thereunto required) hath not paid and Satisfied which to them according to the form and Effect of the Condition of the Writing Obligatory he ought to have paid And this the Said Attorney General for the Said Lord Proprietary is ready to Verify and so forth wherefore the said Attorney General for the Said Lord Proprietary prays Judgment and that the Debt aforesaid together with the Damages Sustained by Occasion of the Detention of that Debt to the Said Lord Proprietary be adjudged and so forth —

And as to the Second Plea of him the Said Henry the aforesaid Attorney General for the Said Lord Proprietary saith that the said Lord Proprietary by any thing in the Same Alledged from having and maintaining his Action aforesaid to be precluded ought not Because protesting that the Same Plea and the matter therein contained are insufficient in Law to Preclude the Said Lord Proprietary from having and maintaining his Action aforesaid to which he hath no need nor by the Law of the Land is he bound in any manner to Answer for Plea Nevertheless by way of Replication the aforesaid Attorney General for the Said Lord Proprietary Saith that the Originale Writ of the Said Lord Proprietary first impetrated on the third day of November in the Year of our Lord Seventeen hundred and fifty three and not after and so the Said Attorney General for the Said Lord Proprietary says that the Original Writ first impetrated in this Cause was ifsued within five

(years)

years next after the Passing the Writing Obligatory aforesaid without that that the Original Writ of the Said Lord Proprietary first impetrated in this Cause was impetrated and ifsued on the fourt day of July in the Year Seventeen hundred and fifty four and not before as the aforesaid Henry Travers hath above by his Second Plea alledged And the Said Attorney General for the Said Lord Proprietary is ready to verify and so forth whereupon he prays Judgment and that the Debt aforesaid together with the Damages Sustained by Occasion of the Detention of that Debt to the Said Lord Proprietary to be adjudged and so forth —

And the aforesaid Henry Travers Saith that the first Plea aforesaid by the aforesaid Attorney General for the Said Lord Proprietary in manner and form aforesaid above by replying pleaded and the matter in the same Contained are altogether Insufficient in Law to have and maintain the Action aforesaid thereof for the Same Lord Proprietary against the Said Henry and that he to that Plea in manner and form aforesaid pleaded hath no need nor by the Law of the Land is held in any Sort to Answer and this he is ready to Verify wherefore for want of a Sufficient Replication in this part the same Henry as at first prays Judgment and that the aforesaid Attorney General of the Said Lord Proprietary from having the Action aforesaid thereof for the Same Lord Proprietary against him the Said Henry may be precluded —

And the aforesaid Henry Travers Saith that the Second Plea by the aforesaid Attorney General of the Said Lord Proprietary for the Same Lord Proprietary in manner and form aforesaid above by replying Pleaded and the Matter in the Same Contained are altogether insufficient in Law to have and maintain the Action aforesaid thereof for the Same Lord Proprietary against the Said Henry and that he to that Plea in manner and form aforesaid pleaded hath no need nor by the Law of the Land is held in any Sort to Answer and this he is

(ready)