Pl. Philemon Lloyd Chew
vs.
Def. Richard Chew

Maryland ss. Richard Chew late of Ann Arundel County Planter was attached to Answer unto Philemon Lloyd Chew in a plea of Trespass upon the Case and so forth. And whereupon the said Philemon Lloyd by Stephen Bordley his Attorney Complains that whereas the aforesaid Richard after the first Day of May in the Year of our Lord Seventeen hundred and five to wit on the twenty fifth day of January in the Year Seventeen hundred and fifty five at Ann Arundel County aforesaid made his certain Note in writing called a promissory Note with his proper Hand thereunto Subscribed bearing date the day and Year last aforesaid and the said Note to him the said Philemon Lloyd then and there delivered by which said Note the aforesaid Richard promised to pay unto him the said Philemon Lloyd or his Order the Sum of One hundred and thirty eight pounds four Shillings Current Money for Value received by reason whereof and also by force of the Statute in Such Case lately made and Provided the aforesaid Richard became liable for and Chargeable to pay unto him the said Philemon Lloyd the aforesaid Sum of Money on Demand and being so therewith Chargeable the aforesaid Richard afterwards to wit on the Day and Year last aforesaid at the County aforesaid upon himself assumed and to the said Philemon Lloyd then and there faithfully promised that he the said Richard the aforesaid Sum of Money to him the said Philemon Lloyd when afterwards he should be thereunto required would well and truly content and pay (of which said Sum of One hundred and thirty eight pounds four Shillings Current Money the aforesaid Philemon Lloyd doth acknowledge himself to be Satisfied in the Sum of one hundred and two Pounds Sixteen Shillings Current Money aforesaid part thereof) Nevertheless the aforesaid Richard his Promise and Assumption aforesaid so as aforesaid made as to the Sum of thirty five pounds eight Shillings Current Money residue of the aforesaid Sum of One hundred and thirty Eight pounds four Shillings Current Money aforesaid not regarding but minding and fraudulently intending him the said Philemon Lloyd in this behalf Craftily and Subtilly to Deceive and Defraud the aforesaid Sum of thirty five pounds Current Money aforesaid residue as aforesaid or any part thereof to him the said Philemon Lloyd hath not contented or paid (Altho' so to do the aforesaid Richard was afterwards to wit on the day and Year last aforesaid and often aforesaid at the County aforesaid by the said Philemon Lloyd requested) But the Same or any part thereof to him the said Philemon Lloyd to Content or pay he hath hitherto altogether refused and still doth refuse to the Damage of him the said Philemon Lloyd in the Sum of fifty pounds Current Money aforesaid and therefore he brings Suit and so forth —

Pledges & so forth. John Doe & Richard Roe —

And the said Richard Chew by Henry Darnall his Attorney comes and defends the force and Injury when &c. and prays leave to Imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the Same day is given to the Plaintiff also —

At which said next Court to wit the thirteenth day of September Anno Dom. 1757 Comes again the said parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further leave to Imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the Same day is given to the Plaintiff also —

At which said next Court to wit the Eleventh day of April Anno Dom. 1758 Comes again the said parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Defendant by his said Attorney pray further leave to Imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the Same day is given to the Plaintiff also —

At which said next Court to wit the Twelfth day of September Anno Dom. 1758 Comes again the said parties Plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further (leave)

leave to Imparle hereunto untill next Court and he hath it and the Same day is given to the Plaintiff also —

At which said next Court to wit the Tenth Day of April Anno Dom. 1759 Comes again as well the said Philemon Lloyd Chew by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Richard Chew by his Attorney aforesaid and the said Richard Chew by his Attorney aforesaid as before defends the force and Injury when and so forth and says that he did not Assume upon himself in Manner and form as the said Philemon Lloyd Chew above against him hath declared and of this he puts himself upon the Country and the Philemon Lloyd Chew in like manner —

Nevertheless the said Richard Chew by his Attorney aforesaid Relinquishing the Averment above by him pretended Says that he cannot gainsay the Action aforesaid of the aforesaid Philemon Lloyd Chew against him brought nor but that he Owes to the said Philemon Lloyd Chew the aforesaid Sum of Thirty five pounds Eight Shillings Current Money in manner and form so as the aforesaid Philemon Lloyd Chew above against him hath declared —

Therefore it is Considered by the Justices here that the said Philemon Lloyd Chew recover against the said Richard Chew as well Thirty five pounds Eight Shillings Current Money his Damages aforesaid as also the Sum of Pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto him on his Assent Adjudged for his Costs and Charges by him about his Suit in this behalf laid out and Expended and the said Defendant in Mercy &c. —

Memorandum the Plaintiff acknowledges Satisfaction of the Damages —

Test. Reverdy Ghiselin Clk.

Pl. Caleb Dorsey Quitam
a
Def. Thomas Sprigg —

Memorandum The Defendant by Daniel Dulany his Attorney sued out his Lordships Writ of Habeas Corpus the Tenor whereof follows in these Words to wit — Maryland ss. Frederick Absolute Lord and Proprietary of the Provinces of Maryland and Avalon Lord Baron of Baltimore &c. To the Justices and Sheriff of Ann Arundel County Greeting — Whereas a certain Thomas Sprigg late of Ann Arundel County Gent. is detained in the Prison of our said County under the Custody of you our said Sheriff at the Suit of a certain Caleb Dorsey who as well for Us as for himself in this behalf Prosecuteth of a Plea of Debt which said Plea as it is said still depends before you our said Justices undetermined and We being willing for divers Causes that the Plea aforesaid undetermined as aforesaid shall be heard and Determined before the Justices of our Provincial Court therefore We Command You that you have the Body of the said Thomas Sprigg by whatsoever Name he be called in the Plea aforesaid together with the day and Cause of his Caption and Detainer and all things thereunto relating before the Justices of our Provincial Court to be held at Annapolis the third Tuesday of May next that our said Justices may further Proceed therein as to Justice appertains &c. Hereof fail not at your Peril and have you then and there this Writ Witness John Brice Esq. Chief Justice of our said Provincial Court the 26th day of October in the 6th Year of our Dominion Anno Dom. 1756 —

W. Sligh Clk.

Issued Nov. 10th 1756 — Allowed p Geo. Stewart (D Dulany)

At which said third Tuesday of May to wit the Seventeenth day of the Same Month Anno Dom. 1757 being the day of the Return of the aforegoing Writ the Justices and Sheriff of Ann Arundel County in Complyance with the Writ aforesaid make return thereof to the Court here thus Endorsed to wit (The)