comes again here into Court the Same Term in his proper person and the said Thomas Baldwin at the Solemnly called comes not in but hath Departed in Contempt of the Court and made Default — Therefore it is considered that the said John Lovick Jun. do recover his Seizin against the said William Wilmer of all the said three hundred and Eighty Acres of land part of Tilghman and Fooley Grove as aforesaid with the appurtenances and that the said William Wilmer have of the Lands of the said Simon Wilmer to the Value and so forth and that the said Simon Wilmer have of the Lands of the said Thomas Baldwin to the Value and so forth and the said Thomas Baldwin in Mercy and so forth — And hereupon the said John Lovick Jun. Prayeth the Writ of the Right Honourable the Lord Proprietary to the Sheriff of Kent County Directed to cause him to have full Seizin of the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances and to him it is granted returnable here the Second Tuesday of April then next ensuing &c.

Test. Rewerdy Ghiselin Clk.

---

ffp: x^d

Thomas Ringgold against Hezekiah Dunn } Command was given to the Sheriff of Kent County that he should command Hezekiah Dunn of Kent County aforesaid Gentleman that Justly and without delay he render unto Thomas Ringgold all that Tract or parcel of land Scituate lying and being on the East side of Grays Inn Creek in the County aforesaid called Haynsons Chance containing one hundred Acres with the Appurtenances which he Claimed to be his Right and Inheritance and into which the said Hezekiah Dunn hath not Entry but after the Disseizin which Hugh Hunt thereof injustly and without Judgment made to the said Thomas Ringgold within thirty years and so forth And Whereof the said Thomas Ringgold complained that the said Hezekiah had forced him And unless the said Hezekiah doth the same and the said Thomas Ringgold should make him Secure in Prosecuting his Clamour then Summon by good Summoners the said Hezekiah that he Should be before the Justices of his Lordships Provincial Court at the City of Annapolis the Second Tuesday of September then next and that he should have then and there that Writ &c. so forth —

At which said Second Tuesday of September to wit the Eighth day of the same Month Anno Domini 1761 being the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ comes the said Thomas Ringgold in his proper person and the Sheriff of Kent County to whom the same Writ was Directed also comes and makes return thereof to the Court here thus Endorsed to wit

Pledges &c. Jn^o Doe and Rich^d Roe
Summoners Jn^o Dunn & Rich^d Fenn
So Answereth B. Hands Sher. K. Coty

Maryland ss. Thomas Ringgold Gentleman in his proper person demandeth against Hezekiah Dunn All that Tract or parcel of land Scituate lying and being

(on)

on the East Side of Grays Inn Creek in the County aforesaid called Haynsons Chance containing one hundred Acres with the Appurtenances as his Right and Inheritance and into which the same Hezekiah had not Entry but after the Desseizen which Hugh Hunt thereof injustly and without Judgment made to the said Thomas Ringgold within Thirty years and so forth —

And Whereupon the said Thomas Ringgold saith he was Seized of the land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in his Demesne as of fee and Right in the time of Peace in the time of our Lord the King that now is taking thereof the Profits to the Value and so forth and into which and so forth and therefore he brings Suit — and so forth —

And the aforesaid Hezekiah in his proper person comes and defends the Right when and so forth and Voucheth thereof to Warrant Charles Haynson Son of Thomas of the County aforesaid Gentleman who comes here into Court in his proper person and freely doth Warrant the same Land with the appurtenances to him the same Hezekiah —

And hereupon the same Thomas Ringgold demandeth against the said Charles Haynson Tenant by his own Warranty the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances in his Demesne as of fee and right in the time of peace in the time of our Lord the King that now is taking thereof the Profits to the Value and so forth and thereof he bringeth Suit and so forth — And the aforesaid Charles Haynson Tenant by his own Warranty defends his Right when and so forth and further Voucheth thereof to warranty thereof Thomas Baldwin who is likewise present here into Court in his own proper person and freely doth warrant to him the land aforesaid with the appurtenances — And thereupon the aforesaid Thomas Ringgold demandeth against the said Thomas Baldwin Tenant by his own warranty the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances in form aforesaid and so forth — And thereupon he saith he was Seized of the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in his Demesne as of fee and Right in the time of peace in the time of the Lord the King that now is taking thereof the Profits to the Value and so forth and into which and so forth and therefore he brings Suit and so forth And the said Thomas Baldwin Tenant by his own Warranty defendeth his Right when and so forth and saith that the said Hugh Hunt did not dispeize the said Thomas Ringgold of the land aforesaid with the appurtenances as the said Thomas Ringgold by his Writ and Declaration aforesaid above doth suppose and of this he puts himself upon the Country and so forth — And the said Thomas Ringgold prayeth licence thereof to Imparle here and he hath it — And afterwards the same Thomas Ringgold comes again here into Court the Same Term in his proper person and the said Thomas Baldwin at the Solemnly called comes not in but hath departed in Contempt of the Court and made Default Therefore it is Considered that the said Thomas Ringgold do recover his Seizin against the said Hezekiah Dunn of the Lands aforesaid with the Appurtenances and that the said Hezekiah have of the Lands of the said Charles Haynson to the Value

(and)