Seized of the aforesaid two parts of the Tracts of land aforesaid with the appurtenances in his Demesne as of Fee and Right in the Time of Peace in the Time of the Lord the King that now is taking the Profits thereof to the Value of and so forth and into which and so forth and therefore he brings Suit and so forth —

And the aforesaid James Conn in his proper person comes and defends his Right when and so forth and Vouchetth thereof to Warrant Thomas Baldwin who is present here in Court in his proper person and freely the said two parts of the Tracts of land aforesaid with the Appurtenances to him the said James Conn doth warrant and so forth —

And hereupon the aforesaid John Tolson demands against him the said Thomas Baldwin Tenent by his Warranty the said two parts of the Tracts of Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in form aforesaid and so forth —

And Whereupon he saith that he was seized of the said two parts of the Tracts of land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in his Demesne as of fee and Right in the Time of Peace in the time of the Lord the King that now is taking the profits thereof to the Value of and so forth and into which and so forth and therefore he brings Suit and so forth —

And the aforesaid Thomas Baldwin Tenant by his Warranty comes and defends his Right when and so forth and saith that the aforesaid Hugh Hunt did not disseize the aforesaid John Tolson of the said two parts of the Tracts of Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances as the aforesaid John Tolson by his Writ and Declaration aforesaid above doth Suppose and of this he puts himself upon the Country and so forth —

And the aforesaid John Tolson prays leave thereof to Imparle and he hath it and so forth and afterwards the aforesaid John Tolson comes again here into Court in this same Term in his proper person and the aforesaid Thomas Baldwin altho Solemnly called comes not but in Contempt of the Court hath Departed and makes default.

Therefore it is considered that the aforesaid John Tolson recover his Seisin against the aforesaid James Conn of the said two parts of the Tracts of land aforesaid with the Appurtenances and that the said James Conn have of the lands of the aforesaid Thomas Baldwin to the Value and so forth And that the said Thomas Baldwin be in Mercy and so forth — And hereupon the aforesaid John Tolson prays the Writ of the Lord Proprietary to the Sheriff of Prince Georges County aforesaid to be directed to cause to be delivered to him full Seisin of the said two parts of the Tracts of land aforesaid with the Appurtenances and it is granted him returnable here the second Tuesday of April Then next and so forth —

Test. Reverdy Ghiselin Clk.

---

ffa. xd.

George Garnett against Stephen Pasco } Command was given to the Sheriff of Kent County that he should Command Stephen Pascoe of Kent County (Planter)

planter that Justly and without delay he render unto George Garnett of the County aforesaid Gent all that Parcel of plantable land being part of a Tract of land called Cornwallis's Choice lying and being in Kent County aforesd on the head of Worton Creek being that part of the aforesaid Tract which a certain Edward Cowarden sold and conveyed unto John Pasco the Brother of the said Stephen Pasco containing fifty Acres of land with the Appurtenances which he claimed as his Right and Inheritance and so forth and into which the said Stephen Pasco hath no Entry but after the Dispeisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment made to the said George Garnett within thirty years then last past and so forth And Whereupon the said George Garnett Complained that the aforesaid Stephen Pasco did before him and unless the said Stephen Pasco shall do it and the aforesaid George Garnett shall make him secure in Prosecuting his Clamour then Summon by Good Summoners the aforesaid Stephen Pasco that he be and appear before the Justices of our Provincial Court at Annapolis the Second Tuesday of September then next to Show Cause wherefore he will not do it &c. and that he should have then and there that Writ &c. and so forth.

At which said second Tuesday of September to wit the Eighth day of the same Month Anno Domini 1761 being the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ comes the said George Garnett in his proper person and the Sheriff of Kent County to whom the same Writ was Directed likewise comes and makes return thereof to the Court here thus Endorsed to wit

Pledges of Prosecuting Ufd. John Doe & Richd. Roe
Summoners John Denn & Richd. Fenn
So Answereth B: Hands Sher. Wm. Coby

Kent County ss. George Garnett of Kent County Gent in his proper person demandeth against Stephen Pasco of Kent County planter all that Parcell of plantable land being part of a Tract called Cornwallis's Choice lying and being in Kent County aforesd on the head of Worton Creek being that part of the aforesd Tract which a certain Edward Cowarden sold and Conveyed unto John Pasco the Brother of the said Stephen Pasco containing fifty Acres of land with the appurtenances as his Right and inheritance and into which the said Stephen Pasco hath no Entry but after the Dispeisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment hath made to the said George Garnett within Thirty years then last past & so forth

And Whereupon he sayeth that he was Seised of the aforesd Parcel of plantable land and premises with the Appurtenances in his Demesne as in fee and Right in time of peace in the time of the Lord the King that now is by taking the profits thereof to the Value & so forth and into which and so forth and thereof he bringeth suit Suit and so forth

And the aforesaid Stephen Pasco in his proper person cometh and defendeth his Right when and so forth and thereupon Vouchetth to warranty Thomas Baldwin who is present here in Court in his proper person and freely Warranteth to him the aforesaid Parcell of plantable land and premises with the appurtenances and so forth — And hereupon the said George Garnett demandeth against him the said Thomas Baldwin Tenant by his own Warranty the aforesaid Parcel of (plantable)